

NEWS

Assange verdict:
Britain and the U.S.
GUILTY!



PAGE 4

SOLUTIONS

Keep using cash to
protect your privacy
and freedom



PAGE 12

INTERNATIONAL

Bolsonaro says no
to WHO's pandemic
treaty



PAGE 17

HEALTH

Foundations of
virology are flawed -
what makes us ill?



PAGE 20

THE LIGHT

Issue 23 - Monthly**The Uncensored Truth****LIGHT***thelightpaper.co.uk***50p** WHERE SOLD

Remember Climategate?

Exposing the man-made climate change fraud, page 6

Global Warning:

A group of unelected billionaires and bureaucrats are planning a future where you will have very little freedom

by **DARREN SMITH**

People think the world changes all by itself, but nothing could be further from the truth

WHEN the world's most powerful people meet to discuss how to shape the future, you can be sure it is not for our benefit. Digital IDs, a 'recalibration of human rights', and the control of information were all discussed at May's World Economic Forum meeting in Davos, while in June, the Bilderberg Group covered 'geopolitical realignments' and the 'disruption of the global financial system' among other topics, in Washington, D.C.

The infrastructure of the 'internet of everything' being built right now is testament to the plans of the world's richest and most powerful families. While it might be sold as a smart future, if our lives are entirely online, then we will have very little control over them: a total surveillance world where your life is digitised, and everything you do will be tracked, and scored. This score will decide where you can go, what you can buy, your career, how much energy you consume and many other limitations on your life.

Importantly, there is no good reason for any of this, but through control of the world's media and

academia for generations and an advanced working knowledge of psychology, they have people believing there is.

We are paying four times as much for our energy as twenty years ago, because of the green agenda driving government policies, at the very base of which is the weather, which has always changed, and always will.

Governments are passing laws to restrict freedom of speech and to drain your bank account, and are giving up national sovereignty in order to 'fight global problems', such as the WHO's pandemic preparedness treaty.

If you were trying to build a world where a few self-appointed ultra-rich psychopaths make all the decisions for the entire world, and everyone has to obey, you could do little better than what they are doing right now, with the full co-operation of elected MPs, councillors, and a system that people have been taught to put their trust in to protect their natural rights and freedoms.

Although many in Britain have now realised that covid was vastly overblown, most do not realise what the real agenda is, and how they advanced it in many ways by deceiving the world into believing in a highly contagious, deadly disease (which could get you from four feet away, but not from six, etc.).

Small businesses were crushed, and through the largesse of government bribes, we are all beginning to count the cost of rampant inflation. The giant corporations and Wall Street thrived, as Amazon, Tesco and McDonalds could stay

**Klaus Schwab - a real-life Bond villain or playing the part?**

open as 'essential businesses', but local, independent shops and businesses were shut.

Moving people online, and away from working and socialising with others in person, plus making travel more expensive and cumbersome, also helps their plan to move all human interaction on to the internet, where it can be monitored and controlled.

Asking people to show passes, scan QR codes and isolate when told to, all got people used to being monitored and taking orders. Many schools already have fingerprints and other biosensory technology installed, preparing the next generation for an entirely digital world where they will never know freedom and the thrill of spontaneous choice.

With near-total control over the

flow of information, by owning all broadcast media channels and the dependent press, and calling anything that asks questions or proves them wrong, 'misinformation', and then banning it from social media, most people have no idea what is really going on - by design. Crises are always somehow mis-blamed, so the real culprits of people's misery are rarely seen.

The fact that this is happening in lockstep across the world should also be a major clue that changes to society are decided on by people far above those we elect to represent us; but many still believe this must be because all governments have suddenly decided, on their own, at exactly the same time, to enact the same policies, because they must

all be so wise. This is advanced psychological manipulation.

They are counting on people's naivete - that either no one could be that evil and want that much power, or a hero will stop it; like all of those movie scripts we have seen played out a thousand times on screens large and small.

At the same time that they are building the internet prison, they are reducing our ability to live outside of their control, off the internet, off-grid and independently, as animals are culled for made-up reasons and laws are brought in to stop you.

But part of their plan is to demoralise us, by pretending they are all-powerful and their plans are prophecy. While they do wield an enormous and disproportional amount of influence over people today, it is absolutely not inevitable that the tyranny they have planned happens; nor that enough people will not wake up in time to stop it.

We are far more powerful than we have been led to believe - whether made in the image of God, or after billions of years of naturally-selected evolutionary genetics, you have ten or a hundred times more ability than you think, and are fully in control of your life - if you want to be.

They want us afraid, poor, sick and dependent. The best defence is to be the absolute opposite of those things. We need to fulfil our potential, as well as band together to spread information and use our people power to lobby and resist the technocracy. They can have their plans, we should all have ours as well.

THE LIGHT PAPER JULY 2022

Distributed independently to remain fiercely free from the establishment we seek to hold to account.

Original content is © 2022 thelightpaper.co.uk

For all distribution and bulk order enquiries,
please email: lightdistribution@mailbox.org

For advertising enquiries, please contact Nicola at:
ads@thelightpaper.co.uk

If you like what we do, please get involved and show
someone else this truthpaper. Visit our website:

thelightpaper.co.uk

Editor: Darren Smith

Sub-editor: Harry Wundas

Layout: Miki Kay

Distribution: Cath Swann & Matt Smith

Advertising: Nicola Kelly

Subscriptions: Ross & Rebecca Penman

Social Media: Jessica Paris, Andrea Jay & Nicola Kelly

Proofreading: Stevie M, Jerry R,

Tracy S, Adrian L, David K, Alan I,

Special thanks to our warehouse hubs & distributors who work tirelessly to bring you the uncensored news. To keep our paper

FREE is not free - you can help by ordering advance copies,
donating or subscribing at: thelightpaper.co.uk

"He who would trade liberty for a little temporary security deserves neither liberty nor security."

Benjamin Franklin

Economic Data

U.S. Gov't 10-year bond yield: 3.08%

UK national debt: -£2,450,763,335,208

UK Trade: -£11,505,000,000,000

WTI crude oil per barrel: \$104.12

Gold per ounce: \$1,741.80

Crypto market: £758,896,751,733.25

Real inflation index: 11.1%

UK average house price: £281,161

UK average wage: £29,224

Sources: tradingview.com, ons.gov.uk, ukdebtclock.com

Bob Moran is our best political cartoonist, and it is a privilege to be able to feature his work via the Democracy Fund of Canada, who pay him to make art which we can then use. Thank you, Bob. <https://bobmoran.co.uk>



Commemorative Plate



Schools Bill a real danger for child safeguarding

by WENDY CHARLES

Parents would be forced to divulge confidential information or face prison

THE Schools Bill is not based on demonstrable need or reliable research, and at no point has the Department of Education (DfE) evidenced the need to register and monitor home-educated children.

Crucially, three quarters of respondents to the consultation about these proposals made clear that they did not support registration, and yet the DfE appears determined to push it through with unreasonable haste.

If enacted, this Bill would be an abusers' charter, leading to ready identification of victims of domestic abuse and those in witness protection programmes, possibly leading to injury or death.

The Bill introduces a mandatory requirement for any parent whose child does not attend school full-time, to register with their local authority and to provide it with not only contact details, but with 'any other information the local authority considers appropriate'.

If a parent fails to provide this information, or provides incorrect information, the parent must be served with a notice requiring that they satisfy the local authority with any information it requires; failure to do so leading to fines or imprisonment for up to 51 weeks. Furthermore, all education providers would be required to provide details on request, under threat of fines, or inability to continue to trade.

Local authorities will have a legal duty to provide the Secretary of State (in practice the DfE) with information from their register relating to individual children. They will be given the power to share that information, at their discretion, with no apparent restriction on that sharing.

This Bill makes no allowance for parents who do not hold the required information, or for whom disclosure

of the information constitutes a serious risk to their safety and that of their children.

We know that a determined abuser can and will access data; we know that local authorities disclose data relating to home-educated children regularly.

We know that the Information Commissioner's Office carried out an audit in late 2019-20 of the DfE, and found that policy on learners' records was, "designed to find a legal gateway to 'fit' the application". There were insufficient controls, oversight, or lawful basis and "the DfE are not fulfilling the first principle of the GDPR, outlined in Article 5(1)(a), that data shall be processed lawfully, fairly and in a transparent manner."

We might think that the DfE would have learned from that, but we know that as recently as 17th May, it had to apologise to academy staff over what it referred to as a 'data blunder'. In short, we know that data is not safe in the hands of local authorities or the DfE and when data is not safe, parents and children are not safe.

Leaving aside those cases where the parent or child is at risk, we must ask ourselves: 'What happened to our right to privacy?' When the ContactPoint database



under the Labour government, and roll back state intrusion'. Are home-educating families to be exempt from the need to roll back this unwarranted state intrusion?

These issues do not touch on the fact that this Bill is so poorly written that the DfE has already tabled 16 amendments to its own Bill, and numerous amendments have been tabled by Ministers and

authority areas. Worst still, a parent could be fined or imprisoned for a simple clerical error or not knowing information.

Exceptions to the scope of the regulation of educational institutions do not go far enough, and could include individual tutors, tutoring services, childminders, relatives or even some families. Tutoring facilities currently available to

most authorities are doing a good job, there are many authorities where this is simply not the case.

Every day of the week, Education Otherwise, and other home education support groups, are dealing with bad, and sometimes malicious, behaviour by local authorities. We know that parents in those areas can be quite literally terrified of their authority staff, fearing months, and even years, of draconian and, frankly, bullying behaviour.

We also need to reflect on the fact that Ministers suspended arrangements for the NHS to share immigrant patients' details with the Home Office so that it could trace people breaking immigration rules. Why? Because immigrant populations were fearful of seeking help and being harmed as a result. Are home-educated children less valuable than immigrant children?

The only good that could possibly come of this Bill is the provision of a duty upon local authorities to provide support to home-educating families. Except it is not a duty in any real sense, as the support to be provided is 'whatever the local authority thinks fit having regard to the parent's request'.

This Bill is a travesty of prejudice and othering against a minority community. We can only hope that Parliament has the good sense to dismiss it.

<https://educationotherwise.org>

Local authorities will be under a legal duty to provide the information from their register relating to individual children. They will be given the power to share that information, at their discretion, with no apparent restriction on that sharing

was created under the Children Act 2004, it aimed to improve the way that information about children was shared between child protection services. ContactPoint is analogous to a 'children not in school' database.

Following the 2010 General Election, the new government scrapped the ContactPoint database as a measure 'to reverse the substantial erosion of civil liberties

Peers. A simple reading is enough to understand why: it is riddled with ludicrous, basic errors and grammatical blunders that no home-educated child would make.

As the Bill stands, a home educating parent would have to register with any local authority in which they are without time limits; a long day trip could require registration in possibly dozens of

home-educated children will be lost to them, as businesses will not accept the administrative burden caused by this Bill.

More worryingly, this Bill presents a significant safeguarding risk to children by making a naïve assumption that local authority intervention is always kindly and benign. It is not. We know that whilst the majority of education staff in

Assange verdict: British courts and media GUILTY!

THE months-long extradition hearings of Julian Assange, a trial that will forever define modern journalism, have been shamelessly whitewashed by British media. Too few news agencies reported on the utter abrogation of judicial due process and the rule of law exhibited in a British courtroom against an innocent fellow journalist.

This sham trial exposed a fundamental British truth: that the UK courts and its press are really America's concubines, willing to promote any US interest on command.

With the number of courtroom seats set at only sixteen, the few in attendance at Westminster Magistrates' Court provided the only reporting from the trial to the world. Former UK ambassador to Uzbekistan, Craig Murray, reported daily on the courtroom torture of Julian Assange. However, the world's media quashed the story!

Murray wrote in outrage: "I simply cannot believe the blatant abuse of process that is unfolding before my eyes in this courtroom... A complicit mainstream media has ensured those of us who know what is happening are very few."

Extradition effectively began after Assange was dragged from the Ecuadorian Embassy on April 11, 2019, and sentenced on May 1 to fifty weeks in jail for jumping bail for the safety offered by then-president Rafael Correa. The bell tolled when incoming president Lenin Moreno rescinded his asylum due to U.S. pressure.

The judge for the extradition was Vanessa Baraitser, who was selected by Chief Magistrate, Lady Arbuthnot. It has been claimed her husband, Lord James Arbuthnot, had substantial interests with UK and U.S. defence and security contractors and had condemned Assange publicly. (<https://www.dailymaverick.co.za/article/2019-11-14-julian-assanges-judge-and-her-husbands-links-to-the-british-military-establishment-exposed-by-wikileaks/>)

Baraitser denied bail, and ordered Assange to be placed each day in a plexiglass box, with one bailiff on each side of him at the back of the courtroom, where he could not communicate with his attorneys. Baraitser's order brought defence

by BRETT REDMAYNE-TITLEY



councils QC Edward Fitzgerald and QC Mark Summers together with prosecutor QC James Lewis in stipulating to allow Assange to sit, as normal, with his council. Baraitser denied the request.

Assange was punished before and during the hearings. Always handcuffed, he was moved between five isolation cells on his way to his plastic box. In Belmarsh prison, he has not been allowed proper medical attention, denied his books, writing supplies, and access to his court documents, while being kept in solitary confinement.

When Trump became U.S. president his Attorney General Jeff Sessions stated that it was suddenly "a priority for the Justice Department" to arrest Julian Assange. Later, William Barr, as AG, issued a new superseding indictment in May 2019 - sealed and ignored since 2013 - that added seventeen counts related to the 1917 Espionage Act. This had been an abandoned attempt by Obama's AG, Eric Holder, who refused to indict Assange using the Espionage Act. Yet the allegations were the same in 2018 as they had been in 2013.

Assange's fate may have been sealed, however, when in the last weeks of the Trump/Clinton presidential campaign, WikiLeaks provided to the American voter documentary emails that showed that the Democratic National Committee (DNC), led by Donna Brazile, John Podesta, and Amy Wasserman Schultz, were not promoting democracy at all, but instead a two-year conspiracy to elect only Hillary

Clinton, regardless of rising national support for Bernie Sanders. Days later, Hillary lost.

Abuse of process by the prosecution could have given many reasons for an impartial judge to summarily dismiss the extradition request. But the court ignored the wiretap of Assange's embassy office, and of his privileged conversations with his attorneys, the

exemption for political prisoners. A separate UK treaty, not specific to the U.S./UK treaty however, did not contain this prohibition. Baraitser ultimately favoured the prosecution.

The defence produced experts with working knowledge of Alexandria City Jail, and the Florence, Colorado SuperMax prison - Assange's fate. Twenty-three hours a day in isolation with

After many weeks in court, Judge Baraitser ignored virtually the entire defence and ruled that the U.S./UK treaty prohibition did not apply

U.S. discussion of his assassination by poison, and that much of the evidence produced by the prosecution was false.

QC Lewis spoke for the American interests while a team of four unknown Americans sat behind him each day passing him notes. The prosecution's case hung on four main points: Assange was not a journalist nor protected by free speech or political prisoner statutes; Assange had committed crimes when he allegedly helped Chelsea Manning obtain a password for her leaked classified material; that WikiLeaks put lives at risk by failing to redact important names when publishing the 2010 leak; and that Assange would certainly receive fair treatment in a U.S. gulag after being found guilty.

For testimony, the prosecution relied substantially on the written affidavit of U.S. Assistant Attorney Gordon Kromberg, who was the man who crafted the 2018 U.S. superseding indictment against Assange. Kromberg provided many assurances of Assange's guilt.

For the defence, QC Fitzgerald and QC Summers countered every allegation using expert witnesses with impeccable credentials. The court is said to have restricted the defence by not allowing more than thirty minutes to introduce each expert and their statements to the court.

The very legality of the U.S. extradition request was first in question because the 2004 U.S./UK extradition treaty provided a specific

Assange got word of the situation he alerted U.S. officials. Leigh is said to have dismissed these allegations to the Associated Press, according to a report from CBS News in 2011 (<https://www.cbsnews.com/news/wikileaks-breach-made-all-cables-public/>).

On June 26, 2021, the man the FBI used as its main informant in Iceland regarding Assange helping Manning break the non-existent password, Sigurdur Ingi Thordarson, recanted his testimony, saying it was coerced. His claim is bolstered by Iceland's expulsion of the FBI, because it was fostering Thordarson to frame Assange.

After many weeks, the court ruled that the U.S./UK treaty prohibition did not apply, Assange was not a journalist, nor a political refugee; he did help Manning access a code that did not exist, and he had not redacted national security information.

Unfathomably, Baraitser next ruled that Assange could not be extradited, since incarceration American-style would likely force his eventual suicide.

Any celebration was momentary. Baraitser remanded Assange back into custody, pending a U.S. appeal. In appeals, the UK High Court on Dec 10, 2021, ruled that renewed American "assurances" regarding Assange's treatment in U.S. prison could legally negate Baraitser's inconvenient decision.

Assange next appealed to the UK Supreme Court, but they refused him standing, leaving Home Secretary Priti Patel to twist the knife and approve Assange's extradition on June 16.

The extradition, a kangaroo court twelve years in the making, will now return before the High Court in a last desperate appeal for abuse of process. But first, the Union Jack will be dutifully lowered again from sight... in favour of the Stars and Stripes.

Call the High Court now. +44 (0) 20 7947 6010. "Free Julian Assange!"

Brett Redmayne-Titley documents the 'Sorrows of Empire.' An archive of articles can be found at watchingromeburn.uk. He can be contacted at live-on-scene @ gmx.com

People don't trust the media, so why is it being protected?

by JADE TAUSSIG

'I disapprove of what you say, but I will defend to the death your right to say it'

THIS sentiment, the backbone of a democratic society, may soon be a thing of the past, if the Online Safety Bill goes through the UK Parliament unchallenged. This Bill is an attempt to suppress the free flow of information, serving to censor individual voices and alternative media.

The Bill's factsheet confirms: "new rules for firms which host user-generated content, i.e., those which allow users to post their own content online or interact with each other, and for search engines, which will have tailored duties focused on minimising

the presentation of harmful search results to users."

A particular area of concern is the proposal to remove, or make less visible, legal content, classed by the government and the proposed regulator Ofcom, to be "harmful to adults". This will include disinformation and misinformation.

The government has provided definitions of these terms to Ofcom who highlighted these in their Ofcom Online Nation 2021 report:

"The UK Government's response to the Online Harms White Paper defined 'misinformation' as the inadvertent spreading of false information and 'disinformation' as the deliberate creation and dissemination of false and/or manipulated information, that is intended to deceive and mislead audiences, either for the purposes of causing harm, or for political, personal or financial gain. There is a further category: true information published online that is shared with the intent of causing harm; this is known as 'malinformation'."

Genuine, true information could be categorised as malinformation which will then be said to have intent to cause harm. Such information, though not misinformation or disinformation, would be bundled under the same umbrella, irrespective of authenticity or truth. One can only assume this is to prevent genuine information from being publicly accessible and shared.

Although the Bill claims that citizen journalistic content will be protected, and platforms will have a duty to uphold freedom of speech, the obvious question arises, if content falls within the nebulous definition of 'lawful but harmful' which encapsulates genuine information, will it be subject to censorship? It would seem so, and this is an obvious contradiction which is entirely unaddressed, and has even had lawyers at a loss. Whereas the general public will be subject to censorship, the mainstream news media will possess a protected status, as the Bill's factsheet confirms:

"First, news publishers' content will be exempted from platforms' new online safety duties. Tech companies will be under no legal obligation to apply their new safety duties to it. This means platforms will not be incentivised to remove news publishers' content as a result of a fear of sanction from Ofcom."


The direct implication here is that removing other forms of content, emanating from the public and the alternative media will be incentivised. This comes at a time when trust in the mainstream media is at an all-time low, globally. According to the Reuters Institute Digital News Report 2022 (report supported by Ofcom), "news trust in the USA has fallen by a further three percentage points and remains the lowest (26%) in our survey." The UK shows a similar trend, with only 34% trusting the news, which is 17% down since 2015. Furthermore, only 20% think the media is free from undue political influence, down 14% since 2017. Just 20% believe the media is free from undue business influence, down 9% since 2017 (see Reuters graph above).

The Reuters report also confirms:

"Trust in BBC News has fallen 20 percentage points in the last five years, from 75% to 55%. Equally telling is the proportion who say they distrust the BBC, which has grown from 11% to 26%."

Another trend which has emerged is active news avoidance. The Reuters graph on the left illustrates, news avoidance has considerably increased worldwide, since 2019. In the UK, 46%

OVERALL TRUST SCORE



MEDIA IS FREE FROM ...



actively avoid the news.

This quote from Reuters Institute Digital News Report 2022 may offer some insight into why the public distrust the mainstream media and/or avoid the news:

"Across all markets, just 19% say all or most news organisations put what's best for society ahead of their own commercial or political interests. In fact, many more people say that all or most put their own political views (40%) or commercial interests (42%) ahead of society. These views are held by around 20% in countries with high trust in news, such as Finland, but are held by around 45% in the US, the UK, and a majority in parts of Southern Europe, Eastern Europe, and Latin America. This may reflect cynicism about the underlying motivations of many publishers, or perhaps hardened realism about what many commercial news organisations must do to survive."

In addition to low trust in the mainstream media, trust in politicians and the government is also low and in decline.

In fact, a considerable number of people believe that misinformation emanates from the news media and government itself, as Ofcom previously confirmed: "In previous research, we have shown how quickly the rally around the government evaporated, as fewer and fewer turned to the government for information, trust declined, people across the political spectrum began to question its handling

of the crisis, and a significant minority began to express concern over what they saw as potential misinformation about coronavirus coming from the government itself."


Despite the government and Ofcom being aware of this data, they are working against public interest, and setting up the legal infrastructure so that irrespective of whether we trust them or not, they will have complete control over what we see and read.

We do not live in a world where journalistic integrity is protected. The UK government considered prison sentences of up to 14 years for stories that embarrass the Government. Julian Assange has paid dearly for his service to the public, his life has been ruined. After years of hell, he now faces extradition to the USA where he will spend the rest of his life in a maximum security prison. His crime: exposing the truth.

With such low public confidence and record levels of distrust, why is the government bestowing themselves, Ofcom and the mainstream media with so much power, and taking it away from alternative sources and individual voices? The Online Safety Bill will make it so that the mainstream media will be protected, but the people's voice, your voice, will be silenced - by the rebranded Ministry of Truth.

<https://wearethenews.com>

PROPORTION WHO SOMETIMES OR OFTEN ACTIVELY AVOID THE NEWS (2017-22) – SELECTED MARKETS



Remember Climategate? Emails exposed fraud yet we all still pay

Emails exposed the gigantic man-made climate change fraud perpetrated by the globalists

I'VE been an environmental campaigner since the 1980s, and up to a year ago I was a firm believer in man-made climate change. But recently, I've committed to no longer automatically accepting the veracity of what I'm told by the media, but doing my own research and making up my own mind.

I began delving into the issue of climate change last year, and have come to very different conclusions about what's going on from that presented in the media; there is no doubt that the Earth is in extreme crisis, due to rampant consumerism and a materialist mindset, and that there is an anthropogenic extinction event occurring. In other words, the attitudes and activities of many are out of balance with this beautiful world. This article does not in any way challenge that reality.

However, there is massive fraud being perpetrated against the world's inhabitants, with our energies and resources being channelled into the diversion of climate change as framed (rather than into meaningful solutions), while a small number of people profit massively through the effects of the Paris Accords and other 'carbon reduction policies', including carbon credits and trading.

The case against man-made climate change has six main pillars:

1. The driver of climate change on Earth is the Sun, through sunspot cycles and the solar wind. As a result of this fluctuating solar activity, there have been periods in human history when the Earth has been much warmer than today, such as the Medieval Warm Period. Life on Earth, including human life, thrived during these times. And there have been periods when it's been much colder such as the Maunder Minimum in the 1600s. We are currently moving into a Grand Solar Minimum, which will bottom out in the early 2030s, resulting

by DANIEL THOMPSON-MILLS

Climategate U-turn as scientist at centre of row admits: There has been no global warming since 1995

By JONATHAN PETRE FOR THE MAIL ON SUNDAY

UPDATED: 17/12, 14 February 2010

 Share     976 View comments

- Data for vital 'hockey stick graph' has gone missing
- There has been no global warming since 1995
- Warming periods have happened before - but NOT due to man-made changes

The academic at the centre of the 'Climategate' affair, whose raw data is crucial to the theory of climate change, has admitted that he has trouble 'keeping track' of the information.

Colleagues say that the reason Professor Phil Jones has refused Freedom of Information requests is that he may have actually lost the



in colder and wetter weather in Europe, worsening food shortages, economic contraction and population migration. In the man-made climate change world, no one's talking about the sun and what it's doing. This climate change theory and the consequent models do not take account of the Sun's activity. This is a glaring omission which renders the theory and the models fundamentally flawed. After all, the most important object in the heavens is the Sun.

2. In the man-made climate change world, we are told that world temperature changes follow the level of CO₂ in the atmosphere. If CO₂ goes up, temperature goes up and vice versa. But the problem with that is that there is a time lag between temperature changes and those changes being mirrored by CO₂. In other words, the temperature goes up, and several hundred years later CO₂ goes up. This is because the relationship is the opposite to what we're told. The majority of CO₂ in the atmosphere comes from the oceans. When the temperature increases as a result of sunspot activity, it takes a long time for the oceans to heat up, and subsequently release more CO₂. Equally, when temperatures fall as a result of a decrease in the Sun's activity, the oceans slowly cool down and absorb more CO₂. This also happens in a fizzy drink.
3. The United Nation's

By delving into the details, we can see that the notion of man-made climate change, although it sounds plausible, is not actually supported by the data and observations

THE DAMNING EMAILS

■ From: Phil Jones, University of East Anglia Date: Tue, 16 Nov, 1999
I've just completed Mike's trick of adding in the real temps to each series for the last 20 years (ie from 1981 onwards) and from 1961 for Keith's to hide the decline.
(Refers to an attempt to reconcile the rise in global temperature since the 1960s, as recorded by scientific instruments, with an apparent cooling shown in a study of tree rings)

■ From: Keith Briffa, UEA Date: Mon, Jun 23, 2008
I have been of the opinion right from the start of these freedom of information requests, that our private, inter-collegial discussion is just that - PRIVATE. Submitting to these 'demands' undermines the wider scientific expectation of personal confidentiality. It is for this reason, and not because we have or have not got anything to hide, that I believe none

of us should submit to these 'requests'.
(In response to freedom of information requests on climate change)

■ From: Kevin Trenberth, U.S. climate expert Date: Mon, 12 Oct, 2009
The fact is that we can't account for the lack of warming at the moment and it is a travesty that we can't.
(In an email debate over a BBC report on the apparent dip in global warming since 2001).

Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (the IPCC), set up by Rockefeller oil man Maurice Strong, is a political body cloaked as a scientific one. Furthermore, from the outset the IPCC controlled the debate by limiting its charter to studying 'human-induced climate change, its potential impacts and options for adaptation and mitigation'. In other words, before any of the science had been done, the IPCC's assumption was that man's activity was responsible, while the role of the Sun and other natural processes were excluded from consideration, thus thwarting free inquiry based on reason and evidence.

4. Carbon dioxide is a natural gas which comprises 0.04% of the Earth's atmosphere, and we produce 4% of that. Termites produce ten times more CO₂ than humans, so why no war on termites? CO₂ is not a pollutant. The effect that CO₂ has on climate is totally insignificant. Rather than being the villain, CO₂ is the gas of life. Plants need CO₂ to grow and life is based on carbon and water. We are actually in a CO₂ famine right now – levels have been much higher in the past and life

has flourished. Any increase in the amount of CO₂ (even many times over) would be beneficial, enabling plants to grow faster, and grow more easily in arid areas.

5. The fifth consideration is the agenda behind the notion of man-made climate change, namely Agenda 21/Agenda 2030. This is an all-encompassing programme under the banner of the United Nations, the aims of which sound laudable – to bring about sustainability. But in fact, when this is examined in detail, along with the history of how it came about, it becomes clear the agenda is to bring about total control of the world's natural resources, including you and me. This plan involves moving the world's population out of the countryside into smart cities, where people will 'own nothing and be happy' under total surveillance and control. Agenda 21/2030 dovetails with the Great Reset agenda of the World Economic Forum, which includes the push to merge humans with computers (known as transhumanism).
6. In 2009, a whistleblower released emails showing how climate academia was manipulating

and destroying data, along with blocking publication of articles which didn't support their anthropogenic global warming agenda. This became known as Climategate. By delving into the details, we can see that the notion of man-made climate change, although it sounds plausible, is not actually supported by the data and observations. The IPCC's remit and operation, and the allocation of funds to conduct research (along with other factors), do not create a level playing field, but instead support an agenda to control of the world's resources.

Finally, it's critical to know that we're entering a mini Ice Age or Grand Solar Minimum (bottoming out in the early 2030s), the effect it's having on weather and climate, and what this means in terms of our energy needs and our ability to grow food in different parts of the world. We need to be preparing for this now.

A 100-page A4 booklet setting out the findings of my research in great detail is now available. The booklet costs £7.50 (including p&p). For a copy, please email dandelion@stewardwood.org

Trapped in a cult for seven years

Ex-excommunicated from the trans sex cult that manipulates children to mutilate their bodies

AS the month of July descends into a rainbow-festooned festival of the Globohomo Cult that shall no doubt see us all having to watch the unedifying spectacle of police officers twerking beside their re-branded, multicolour clown cars in cities across the nation, the question must surely be asked just how much longer can society stomach being force-fed this most degenerate form of diversity, after witnessing the excesses of Pride events Stateside who 'celebrate' in the month June?

I refer specifically to the shocking footage that emerged online of young children at a 'Drag your kids to Pride' event held in Dallas, Texas, of all places, where they were seen being encouraged to stuff dollar bills into the knicker-tops of scantly clad drag performers by their parents! As if that wasn't shocking enough, the whole thing was being played out in front of a garish, pink neon sign that proclaimed loudly that 'it isn't going to lick itself'. To say that the whole thing displayed overt, and frankly paedophilic sexual overtones, would be stating the obvious.

Other highlights of U.S. Pride 2022 were the sight of pop star, Christina Aguilera, gyrating in front of a crowd of adoring fans at the L.A. event, replete with bejewelled strap-on dildo - a crowd which no doubt included children.

We have, by now, all become accustomed to the 'kink' on full display at these events - even here in dear old, buttoned-up and supposedly conservative Blighty - with fetish dogs being petted by police officers all too keen for a photo-op in the local rag, proudly signalling their supposed virtue for all to see. It's a shame they aren't so keen to show up at your house if you've been burgled or had your car stolen - but you DARE say something that smacks of transphobia on social media, and they'll be round your house like a shot to 'check your thinking' - just ask Harry Miller.

Let us be under no illusions here that it is now the trans agenda

by TARQUIN SUTHERLAND

that drives this de facto sex cult, with even avowed gays of both the 'old-fashioned' genders being made to feel unwelcome, because of their awful, exclusionary, cis-gendered, same-sex attractions, that were always bound to end up being considered transphobic eventually, as the purity spirals of the cult tightened.

No one is EVER born in the wrong body. The whole concept is a nonsense and looking back on that time, so much of what I was being fed was doled out by political zealots masquerading as clinicians

You might think me brave, putting my name in writing to everything I've said above; but you see, I am allowed, because I was inside this cult for seven years, and saw what it entailed and where it was all headed as far back as 2016; when I spoke out, I was unceremoniously excommunicated and deemed a heretic.

Using the approved nomenclature, I am a post-op transsexual. Having been diagnosed, the term that the ever-loving trans community use for people like me is 'Truscum'. I'm glad to report that I am one of the now rapidly-growing group described as 'detransitioned', in so far as we ever can completely detransition.

The journey to reassignment is pretty tortuous - and here's the point: I truly wouldn't recommend it to anyone. I can attest to the fact that the journey back is even worse, and when you get back to something approaching your former self, there are the daily reminders of your trauma, in that you'll have to face the harsh reality of your mutilated body each and every day for the rest of your life. You get the stress and disorder for free.

Gender dysphoria, let me be straight here, IS a thing. I personally suffered in silence for some 45 years and it wasn't

fun. What I have a problem with now though, is the treatment I received - and not just me, pretty much everyone else who places themselves at the mercy of the medical establishment, with their mind literally in knots from this awful, debilitating condition.

Back in the early 2010s, I did the rounds - being seen by the resident shrinks at both the Tavistock and Portman clinics, and the Gender Identity clinic at Charing Cross,

women', I'd have laughed in their face! It's just my bad luck that my timing was such that I'd become so brainwashed, not only by the medical establishment, but also by the online community (which at the time was incredibly competitive with regards to 'passing' as the opposite sex, and the amount of change your body had undergone due to hormonal jiggery-pokery), as to become all encompassing. Hours turned to days, weeks to months



Tarquin then and now

speaking to some of the most experienced doctors around. I was fed what I now consider to be a load of unmitigated quackery of the very highest order. I was introduced to the pseudoscience of in utero hormone levels, and the concept of being born in the wrong body.

Now, let's just get this straight: no one is EVER born in the wrong body. The whole concept is nonsense and looking back on that time, so much of what I was being fed was doled out by political zealots masquerading as clinicians.

The whole 'woke' ideology hadn't yet breached the mainstream discourse at that point; with 20/20 hindsight, it's plain to see that a lot of them already had an agenda, and there was poor little me, at the very lowest ebb of my adult life having lost my home, my business and even my family as a result of the dreaded credit crunch. I was at my most vulnerable, labouring under the misapprehension that I was suffering from a rare medical condition, not being recruited at the taxpayer's expense into a pseudo-religious political cult.

The only way I could rationalise what was happening to me was in terms of being some kind of 'broken man' and if at any point during my treatment anyone had turned to me and uttered the now ubiquitous mantra that 'trans women are real

almost as if from a dream, only to discover too late their mutilated bodies, as they desperately attempt to put their lives back in some kind of order.

The great social irony in all of this is that as you watch trans sex-cult woke zealots blathering on about how marginalised they are, it is actually we, the detransitioned ones, that really ARE marginalised - denied a voice, denied treatment and denied psychological support from that self-same medical establishment that lined us up to have our bodies mutilated, and filled our heads with pseudo-scientific psychobabble in the first place! It's all far too counter-narrative to even acknowledge we exist. Everyone is supposed to come out of this highly lucrative machine all happy-clappy, waving our rainbow flags as billions of dollars are spent perpetuating the lie, and millions of dollars are made by those that promote it the loudest and, dare I say, proudest?

I have to live my reality now, day by day, in a seemingly never-ending game of attrition, suffering the quiet guilt of scurrying into the pub toilets to shamefully sit alone in a cubicle, to be reminded in the most visceral of ways about the mistakes I've made in my life, knowing there is no going back; my oversized man-boobs strapped down as best I can with an undersized sports-bra, hoping no one notices.

My 'stunning and brave' days far behind me, days during which people I'd not seen in decades crawled out of the woodwork to proclaim how brave and stunning I was, and how they always knew there was something slightly amiss with my extroverted displays of cartoon alpha-maledom as the drummer in a heavy metal band, hanging out with bona fide Hell's Angels, and juggling girlfriends with casual misogyny in the desperate hope that none would spot my inherent transgenderism.

Of course, they all wanted to be friends with a tranny then - get a little bit of rubbed-off kudos and a slice of that ever so sweet rainbow virtue cake... they all disappeared back off into the woodwork again as my life slid slowly down the toilet, and it became obvious that this insane experiment hadn't worked.

I have to take it a day at a time... but talk about triggering; Pride month seems to last forever. I really cannot wait for it all to be over - and on that score at least, I suspect I'm not the only one.

Victim of hate campaign for safeguarding women

by SUMAN SANDHU


Award-winning author under fire over Gervais' tweet

BRITISH author Onjali Q Raúf has received an onslaught of abuse for her tweet praising Ricky Gervais. The award-winning author of *The Boy at the Back of the Class* was labelled a hate-mongering TERF (trans-exclusionary radical feminist) by fellow children's authors for approving the comedian's comments about what defines a woman.

"Sir. You are a legend. That's all. As you were. From a female, Muslim Dinosaur," she tweeted on May 25, referencing the joke Gervais made about biological women being 'old-fashioned dinosaurs' (as opposed to the 'new' women with men's genitalia and facial hair). The tweet incensed trans rights activists (TRAs) and encouraged the pile-on of the 41-year-old British-born Bangladeshi writer.

Raúf is no stranger to the wrath of the trans allies. In 2019, she was the subject of a smear campaign when appointed resident writer for the BookTrust charity. The same year that she won the Waterstones Children's Book Prize and the Blue Peter Book Award, Raúf spoke at a Labour conference fringe event hosted by Woman's Place UK. Making the argument about why female-only spaces should remain, an angry heckling mob outside the room caused havoc as she spoke, and police stood aside.

In an increasingly stifling atmosphere, where politicians are openly claiming that a feeling in one's head is enough for a man to change sex, gender-critical feminists have been silenced on the debate. Raúf though has not remained quiet. She has long been an advocate for marginalised women. As well as writing children's books that tackle difficult issues such as poverty and the refugee crisis, she has set up two charities. In 2012, she launched Making Herstory, a non-profit which works to end the abuse, enslavement and trafficking of women in the UK and beyond. She is also CEO of O's



Refugee Aid Team. However, she has received a backlash from a select group of female authors accusing her of spreading hate and lacking kindness.

Clara Vulliamy, daughter of acclaimed children's author Shirley Hughes, is one of them. The 60-year-old author, born and raised in Notting Hill by her author mother and architect father, began a campaign to bully Raúf by encouraging others to contact her publisher. She also labelled Raúf and another female author 'dangerous' for not conforming to her views on transgender people.

Raúf's crime has been to speak up for the safeguarding of women and girls. Often fleeing domestic violence perpetrated by men, Raúf has spoken about how vital it is that vulnerable women and children have access to female-only safe spaces. This is in response to calls from TRAs for men identifying as women to be able to access women's toilets, rape support groups, prisons and shelters.

Earlier this year, her bestseller was nominated for The Phoenix Book Prize, a competition to encourage schoolchildren in Lambeth (the ninth most deprived London borough) to get back into reading. *The Guardian* called her book 'timely and compassionate'. After the disastrous lockdowns - which saw children from lower-income and immigrant households suffer disproportionately - Raúf's book about a Syrian child refugee was one of the few works on the list that so closely related to the lives of the children judging the prize.

A competing author's agent removed Raúf's name from an image of the shortlisted authors, and disseminated it on Twitter. Alice Sutherland-Hawes (who attended the same school as Catherine, Duchess of Cambridge) attempted to erase the work of a Muslim woman from a working-class background seeking to improve the lives of underprivileged women. Purporting to promote marginalised authors, she then boasted about the move on her personal Twitter account.

Accused by critics of holding luxury beliefs, privileged women such as Vulliamy and Sutherland-Hawes sought to bring down a woman who has become an important voice in the women's rights movement. Their belief that the rights of a few should be prioritised above those of a vast number of marginalised women, demonstrates how far the influence of gender ideology has permeated. It also shows a complete lack of empathy for anyone outside of their virtue-signalling social circle.

Advocating for children confused about their gender identity, Raúf asks that they are allowed to grow up without the influence of those seeking to confuse and destroy their childhood, and medicalising them with puberty-blockers. She is also a lone voice in the mainstream when it comes to highlighting the challenges faced by females whose religious beliefs conflict with the inclusion of trans people in traditionally women's spaces.


JK Rowling has suffered condemnation for her views about women's rights - to the point where schools in the UK are reviewing her words for alleged 'wrong thought' before they allow pupils to read the *Harry Potter* books.

Rowling is sadly one of only a few prominent voices speaking up. She has also condemned the hate campaign targeting the author Rachel Rooney. Rooney's crime was to create a picture book, *My Body is Me!*, featuring illustrations of diverse young children, accompanied by poetic text about celebrating oneself. She was labelled transphobic for not including a transgender toddler, and for encouraging young children to be happy in their bodies.

The transitioning of children is a profitable industry, with surgeries costing up to £100,000 per person (not including therapy and hormones). In 2016, referrals to all gender identity clinics in the UK increased, with some clinics reporting surges in patient numbers of several hundred per cent. It is likely that numbers have increased sharply since then.

Many authors have been forced to create anonymous social media profiles to reveal their true feelings around gender ideology. They talk of the publishing industry being rife with those seeking to quash anyone with opposing views. When so many are now afraid of having their livelihoods threatened, an environment has been created where anyone must be accepted as a woman - and to disagree invites only vilification instead of much-needed proper debate.

Moving towards a great financial reset



by COLIN OTF FOSTER

SENSIBLE economists have been warning about the deliberate collapse of the economy for many years. We may just possibly have seen one of their pyramid building blocks being positioned into place.

The orchestrated unfolding and cataclysmic demise of the current fiat monetary system, where for the last few decades the central bank money printing machines have been creating money out of thin air with abandon, is upon us. These currencies are without actual commodity or gold backing. The consequential severe inflationary pressures we are experiencing are created by too much unmanageable debt being fuelled by this money-printing madness.

Whilst the oncoming collapse of the fiat system is no doubt a longer-term welcome break away from the existing debt-slavery economic model, we should be wary of its being potentially a part of the great reset agenda, which makes any proposed replacement something to keep a close eye on.

Caution is needed, as the UK currently has a limited ability to produce quantities of gold coinage - our held reserves only amount to around 310 tonnes of gold. The former Chancellor, Gordon Brown, sold off much of our gold holdings when the market was at its lowest. His error is now called the 'brown bottom' within the financial world, owing to the severity of his foolish policy. Is there enough precious metal available in the UK to support a recovery from a collapsed fiat-based system, or is some sleight of hand taking place?

There is a critical situation within the global financial markets. All the major currencies are at the point of collapse, as none have commodity backing, whilst being fully ensconced within the magic money fiat system; except for the soft 'gold-backed' Russian Ruble, in a country with mineral and commodity reserves, plus negligible debt.

A second cautionary note is that

when previous major economies have collapsed, confiscation occurred, such as in France after John Law's pyramid scheme, or in the USA during the Great Depression. The U.S. Government deemed that all gold coins must be handed over to the government at a fixed price, and then later increased that value to create a balance sheet surplus. Theft followed by a fraud under any other circumstances.

In both these catastrophic economic crashes, it was the plebs who suffered, with gold, silver and sometimes jewellery confiscated by crown agents, and taken into the exchequer, with anyone caught concealing what may have been their life savings, subject to penalties and often incarceration.

The elite managed to save their own wealth by constructing a loophole so that if property being targeted was of a certain age, it was deemed as antique. Convenient if the currency reset was pre-planned and those aware were themselves prepared, whilst the greater population were taken by surprise. One crude example was that silver coins pre-1933, with a higher silver content, were classified as antique. Later coin pressings were deemed as liable for confiscation. This is not to suggest only holding antique coins, as some other coinage will be needed in the future to trade and purchase necessities with.

Those who are not yet prepared should consider actions to insulate themselves as much as possible from the oncoming juggernaut of the elite's orchestrated economic collapse. Those who think they have taken all the necessary steps possible should perhaps review their plans, as the cabal have done this reset scam a few times before.

Although an eventual move to gold and silver coinage would be welcome, they always conceal a poison within their plans to get people to accept an offer in trust, whilst distracting from a real agenda, or further extortion.

Just as with the clot-shot jab and the tragic after-effects, huge profits have been made out of people's suffering and misery. Remember, they have form, and lots of it.

Does Partygate indicate who really runs Britain?

THE day after the first extradition flight of illegal immigrants to Rwanda was abandoned, the Home Secretary, Priti Patel, signed a much more devastating extradition warrant for Julian Assange, to a country that for years has tortured prisoners held without trial. Assange's only crime is journalism.

In the case of Rwanda, there have been calls for the UK to leave the European Convention on Human Rights on the grounds that, despite Brexit, the country is still being run from abroad. Few equivalent calls are being made in the case of extradition to the U.S.; the UK government seems to be obediently following orders from the U.S., under a one-sided extradition agreement. One has to wonder just who is running the UK.

U.S. lawyer and comic political commentator Lionel Nation recently stated: "Profoundly simple question: who runs the United States? Don't tell me Joe Biden... don't tell me any of these people. Answer my question. Who runs it? Simple. The shadow government. They run it."

I think that has been the situation since the assassination of President Kennedy in 1963, widely believed to have been carried out by the CIA. We seem to have a similar situation in the UK, probably going back to the sudden resignation of Harold Wilson in 1976 at the instigation of MI5. Even in an international association that I was a member of, the President resigned in 1974 following a campaign to bring him down, led by a family friend of George Soros. That issue initiated my early researches. Recently I've been asking: Who runs the UK? It's all very well to say the deep state, but who exactly is representing the deep state at Cabinet level? The Partygate issue was particularly revealing.

The recent confidence vote on Boris Johnson's leadership in the Conservative parliamentary party was by all accounts triggered by Sue Gray's report on Partygate. Yet that in itself seems strange, when one considers that that report was largely vacuous. There was little in it that wasn't already known, and her investigations stopped as soon as the police announced that they were looking into the matter. I see no logic in that, other than that its being nothing more than an excuse.

The report itself gave very few

by IAN FANTOM

Was the whole story of Partygate blown up by the deep state, with Sue Gray as their enabler in the Cabinet Office?

names directly. Even the Prime Minister was referred to only by his position. Only three politicians were mentioned, plus the Prime Minister's wife. The rest, thirteen of them, were civil servants. Many were referred to by vague descriptions, such as 'a special adviser'. The Cabinet Office was heavily implicated.

have no vested interest in the outcome. We have to wonder why Sue Gray was asked to head the investigation, and whether the outcome could have been staged.

So how could such a largely vacuous report have triggered a resurgence in the call for Boris to go?

It seems that many MPs were touched by Sue Gray's concluding remarks: "I have already commented in my update on what I found to be failures of leadership and judgment in No 10 and the Cabinet Office," she wrote. Then she directs the criticism to the politicians with, "The events that I investigated were attended by leaders in government. Many of these events should not have been allowed to happen."

This was, of course, a value judgment. Yet Sue Gray's role was supposed to be in fact-finding, rather than expressing a view on the leadership question. Decoded, I think her statement really meant that she thinks Boris should go. Indeed, the whole report seems to have deflected the blame from everyone else onto



Sue Gray

policy, Oliver Letwin. In his memoirs, *COALITION: The Inside Story of the Conservative-Liberal Democrat Coalition Government*, David Laws quoted from a conversation with Oliver Letwin: "It took me precisely two years before I realised finally who it is that runs Britain. Our great United Kingdom is actually entirely run by a lady called Sue Gray... unless she agrees, things just don't happen."

A profile published in *Conservative Home* in 2017 was headed 'Sue Gray, civil servant, and the most powerful woman in Britain'.


"All power to the civil service is her modus operandi," wrote journalist Chris Cooke. "She owes her allegiance to the permanent government and the deep state."

Sue Gray, the article stated, "operates in carefully preserved obscurity. To the wider public, her very name is unknown." Yet her Partygate 'investigation' has now thrown her into the limelight. Instead of being the 'grey cardinal', she is now the flamboyant cardinal.

Like Margaret Thatcher, Boris may have been useful for the deep state, up to the point of actually trying to 'get Brexit done', or, in the case of Margaret Thatcher, to the point of her saying "No, no, no!" to the idea of a federal Europe.

So was the whole story of Partygate blown up by the deep state, with Sue Gray as their enabler in the Cabinet Office? And is the deep state behind these extradition issues? One has to wonder whether the deep state running the UK is the same deep state as the one running the U.S., and the same deep state which I first encountered in the 1970s, apparently represented by George Soros's friends.

The illusion...



The Second Permanent Secretary to the Cabinet, Sue Gray, took on the role of investigating Partygate when the First Permanent Secretary, Simon Case, recused himself from heading the investigation. Surely she would have been aware, or made herself aware, of what must have been common knowledge in the Cabinet Office. Having 'no connection' at the time with the events does not mean that she would

Boris. Could this outcome have been staged?

This idea becomes credible when we understand Sue Gray's role in the Cabinet, or at least how that role is now perceived in parliamentary circles. David Laws participated as a Liberal Democrat MP in David Cameron's coalition government, and he had regular chats with the Conservative Minister for Government

References:

- Findings Of Second Permanent Secretary's Investigation Into Alleged Gatherings On Government Premises During Covid Restrictions, Cabinet Office, 2022-05-25**
https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/1078404/2022-05-25_FINAL_FINDINGS_OF_SECOND_PERMANENT_SECRETARY_INTO_ALLEGED_GATHERINGS.pdf
- Coalition: The Inside Story of the Conservative-Liberal Democrat Coalition Government**
David Laws, *BiteBack Publishing*, 2017-03-07
<https://www.bitebackpublishing.com/books/coalition>
- Profile: Sue Gray, civil servant – and “the most powerful woman in Britain”**
Andrew Gimson, *Conservative Home*, <https://conservativehome.com/highlights/2017/11/15/profile-sue-gray-civil-servant-and-the-most-powerful-woman-in-britain.html>

The viral spiral of deceit over 100 years

by SHARON MULVIHILL

'If you're going to tell people the truth, you better make them laugh or they'll kill you.' - Bernard Shaw (possibly)

Q: What do you get if you kiss a sparrow?

A: Chirpies. And there's no tweetment.

Are you laughing? Or at least smiling? Can I tell the truth now? OK, here it is:

NOT ONLY DOES COVID NOT EXIST, VIRUSES DO NOT EXIST.

Are you relieved? Angry? Or just incredulous? You've probably heard something about this: Did you believe it? Or maybe covid is a pandemic, but what about measles, smallpox, polio, tetanus, and so on? Surely we need vaccines for these diseases? There are still many even in the alternative media talking about viruses – Mike Yeadon and Judy Mikovits for two. They're experts. Yeadon is a virologist, dealing with something that doesn't exist. Mikovits even says viruses cause cancer, that's how common they are.

To make the case for this change of mindset, this article makes use of material from two books by Alfred Russel Wallace, and Dr Thomas Cowan, and the work of Andrew Kaufman MD. Wallace was a colleague of Charles Darwin, and extremely well-known and respected in his day. He published a book in 1898 to put an end to vaccinations: *Vaccination a Delusion, Its Penal Enforcement a Crime*, subtitled, *Proved by the Official Evidence in the Reports of the Royal Commission*. If he were here now, he could write the same book again, just updating the names and the graphs.

He says in his preface that the Royal Commission [read, British Government] has displayed "ignorance and incompetence", and the proposed legislation (requiring vaccination for smallpox) will limit the "liberties of Englishmen" and affect the "lives of their children and the health of the community." He goes on to say, "all the statistics on smallpox mortality... prove the absolute inutility of vaccination."

He shows again and again in different localities and groups that being vaccinated made it more likely that one would die of smallpox. For example, Leicester, a manufacturing town and not particularly known for its healthy environment, had stopped vaccinating the population; Dewsbury was partly vaccinated and Warrington was said to be fully vaccinated: Leicester had just 1.1 mortality per 10,000 living, compared with:


Dewsbury	6.7
Warrington	11.8
Here is the Army and the Navy compared to Leicester:	
Army (1873-94) smallpox death rate per million	37
Navy	36.8
Leicester ages 15-45	14.4

Smallpox was not eradicated because of a vaccine, but because of better hygiene, such as clean running water, sewage systems and so forth. It still occurs in crowded, unhealthy places. You can see Leicester, which wasn't winning any state-of-the-art hygiene prizes, still had cases, and yet it was considerably lower than other vaccinated towns, and the army and navy.

This graph is a good summary of Wallace's arguments. When Leicester did vaccinate (dotted line), smallpox rose (shaded area), and when vaccination reached nearly zero, so too did smallpox. The zymotics are measles, scarlet fever, diphtheria,

originator of the germ theory, Louis Pasteur, to be the charlatan he was, and still is, given his legacy of extensive erroneous science. In spite of going to the lengths of injecting matter containing 'germs' into animals' brains, Pasteur finally had to admit he had not proved contagion. And yet we still denature cows' milk by pasteurising it.

Cowan then goes on to state that no virus has ever been isolated. None. Ever. The extremely small particles attached to cell membranes which are seen under an electron microscope are not viruses, but "exosomes—not invaders but toxin-gobbling messengers that our cells produce to help us adjust to environmental assaults, including electrosmog." They are one of the means by which we adapt to new challenges. They are not independent life forms like bacteria. Removed from the cell membrane, they have no life. So, how are they dangerous and contagious? If the exosomes could be expelled in droplets – which they cannot – it




typhus, whooping cough, enteric and other fevers. They decline as vaccinations decline, indicating the immune system was probably compromised by the vaccination.

Turning to Dr Thomas Cowan and Sally Fallon Morell's *The Myth of Contagion*, subtitled, *Why Viruses (Including "Coronavirus") Are Not the Cause of Disease*, he points out that smallpox was said to result from the variola virus, expressed in droplets and contagious (sound familiar?). But Dr Charles Campbell of Texas did not believe this. He set up experiments attempting to pass the so-called virus from person to person and failed. He knew cleanliness had something to do with infection, as he thought it could be transmitted by bedbugs.

While Wallace's arguments are limited to the first and, at that time, only vaccine for smallpox, Cowan has taken a wider look at all so-called viruses. First, he deals with contagion of disease, revealing the cruel, cunning

would be equivalent to catching a disease from someone's dandruff. But even if we assumed these exosomes are dangerous, the next question is, how can a vaccine be made from them? Firstly, they would have to be capable of being isolated from the cell of which they form a part.

Here, according to Andrew Kaufman MD, is how a SARS-CoV-2 virus was first 'isolated'. The exosome is part of the matter which is taken from a covid sufferer's lungs as cellular material; it is then purified and added to a foreign cell culture (because exosomes cannot exist without being attached to a cell) containing not lung cells but monkey kidney cells; the culture is then broken down by starving the tissue; an antibiotic is added to remove bacteria; and foetal calf serum cells are added to keep the monkey kidney cells alive in the antibiotics. The results are viewed with an electron microscope, and we then see the cytopathic effects resulting



from the experiment. These are then said to be the 'virus'.

No control of this method has been carried out since the 1950s, when John Enders used the method to isolate the measles virus. He found there was no distinguishable difference between the measles virus and the cytopathic effects of the uninfected control. Rather than follow the scientific method and throw the whole lot out, he said, "maybe the monkey kidney tissue had a virus, or maybe there was some unknown effect." Now scientists are more cunning and omit the control altogether.

Stefan Lanka repeated the control experiments, and again found cytopathic effects which are indistinguishable from kidney biopsies. Lanka also offered €100,000 for sight of proof of the existence of any virus. It went to the Supreme Court after a lower court decided against him in favour of someone who said he had proof of the measles virus. The German Supreme Court agreed with Lanka and he kept his money. The German state still requires a measles vaccine to attend school.

But we're not done yet. Kaufman continues: now you have your cytopathic effects or 'virus', you need a genome, and this is where the computers come in; it's called 'in silico'. This is how the SARS-CoV-2 virus was arrived at. In China, they only took one sample from one person, with no control sample. They removed the RNA and other elements; removed some of the sequences, which is not explained in the scientific paper; put it through computers which spat out 56,565,928 fragments, and then they took 30,000 of those as representative of the virus, but failed to explain how the computer knew which was the virus. It didn't. What they had was a sample not of a virus, but of a person. Or perhaps a monkey. The genome didn't include the ends, so they included ones similar to a bat-type coronavirus, whose genome was arrived at by the same technique. Later, other virologists tested three people this time, and finally came to a negotiated agreement as to which fragments to include in the 'genome'.

Real genomes can be and have been produced, but not by the in silico method, which is the only way to produce a 'virus genome'.

If your life depended on this 'science', how would you feel? Personally I'd be terrified, not of the virus but of the science, and those who support the narrative flowing from the science.

Sources and further information for research:

Vaccination, A Delusion
by Alfred Russel Wallace
free ebook available
from Project Gutenberg
<https://gutenberg.org/ebooks/58918>

The Myth of Contagion, Dr. Thomas Cowan, in print and available from anywhere that sells books and/or ebooks. His website is drtomcowan.com and he does 'conversations with Dr Tom Cowan and friends'

For detailed ONS statistics see
<https://expose-news.com/2022/06/02/uk-gov-confirms-covid-vaccination-kills-thousands-after-5-months/>

Andrew Kaufman MD;
his website is best source
andrewkaufmanmd.com
and the video where he discusses 'isolation' of a 'virus'.
<https://andrewkaufmanmd.com/videos/anarchapulco-2022-surviving-the-age-of-the-virus/>

Edward Jenner, known as the father of immunology was another scam master. He even killed his own son with his vaccines

<https://www.naturalnews.com/2022-06-03-edward-jenner-father-of-immunology-complete-huckster.html>

Why economics matters

Who should decide what happens to our resources?

AS a secondary-school Economics teacher, I am always struck by how many students think that Economics is just the study of money, only to find out that the A-Level Economics curriculum doesn't even have a sub-chapter on the topic.

So, what are all economic students studying? And what are the world's billionaires and politicians talking about at the World Economic Forum? Over a series of articles, I want to give you a brief overview of what Economics is and how you can form your own opinion on it. We will also explore how Economics has been used to manipulate policy and regulations in favour of big business for as long as it has been possible to do so.

Economics is a social science, which means that there are no universal laws that govern it. Every law or rule that is made in economics can be disputed or improved by

by LLOYD JAMES

people with better ideas and theories.

You would think that Economics, as social science, would be a subject that constantly reinvents itself when better ideas come about. Unfortunately, we are often stuck in the dominant school of thought: Neo-Classical Economics. This plays out much like the dual political party squabble in the UK, where we move slightly to the left or to the right of this mode of thinking, but no real change ever occurs.

So, Economics is a social science, but what does it study? When you look down the list of the topics being discussed at the World Economic Forum you will see a wide variety of themes, including climate change, central bank digital currency, inflation, education, etc. So, what is it that brings all of this under the umbrella of Economics?

The strange thing is that there is no universally accepted definition of economics. Definitions differ but the main theme of much of economic thinking is to solve the fundamental economic problem: we live in a world of unlimited wants and needs but

limited resources. Which is a nice way of saying that people are greedy and there are not enough resources for everyone.

Some people have spotted the obvious solution to this problem that many economists miss: we should focus on the spiritual problem of people being greedy and make the whole problem much easier to solve. Unfortunately, some economists such as Thomas Malthus came to a similar but darker conclusion: depopulation can be used to make the problem easier.

As most economists do not focus on the unlimited wants and needs part of the problem, we must focus on how to decide which goods and services to produce with the limited resources, how to produce them, and who should get these goods and services. This is the underlying issue of much of the discussions in economics.

Before we try to solve the economic problem, we need to think about and categorise the resources that we have as a society. These are commonly referred to as the factors of production, land, labour, capital, and enterprise. Or, natural resources (land), human resources (labour), human-made resources (capital) and

entrepreneurs (enterprise). These factors of production can be combined to make goods and services.

This is where we encounter the first big disagreement in economics: who should own the factors of production? We have the options of the state owning all the factors of production, which is a communist economy. A mixture of state ownership and private ownership, a mixed economy, or a private ownership of all the factors of production, a free market economy. This is a big question that can be returned to later.

Now we have an idea of what resources we have; we need to decide what to do with them and who should get the goods and services that get produced. Essentially, we need to decide what we value the most. This is where the misconception of Economics being the study of money comes from. What money is really doing is helping society to assign a value to the goods and services that we produce. This helps us to make the best use of the scarce resources we have, so we make the most valuable goods and services that people want.

So, if money is our way of valuing things, it is also a very important part

of Economics. Again, this creates a lot of additional questions such as do people know what they value? What if people value the wrong thing? Is it just better to just give everyone the same things? Should the money used to value everything be owned by a government? We will need to take a much deeper dive down the rabbit hole of differing opinions of value and what the role of money is to get to the bottom of this. But again, everyone is entitled to their own opinion.

So, Economics is a social science. We have a fundamental economic problem of unlimited wants and scarce resources. And, we have the problem of value and how to measure it. Does this sound like an issue that should only be discussed by the experts? Are billionaires and politicians really the best people to be discussing these topics together in Davos? Economics is all around us and affects us in every aspect of our lives. As Terence McKenna said: "if you don't have a plan, you become part of somebody else's plan." That plan for the use of scarce resources is happening now, by people who may value things very differently to you!

Let young hearts run free

Children must be protected from covid-crazed adults

I DIDN'T spend my childhood cowering from common respiratory viruses, covering my face and fearing contact with fellow human beings. And I wasn't immersed in the propaganda of cataclysmic climate change in every classroom. But that is the reality in schools today. Can doomed youth be saved from the spiral of fear?

As a mental health lecturer for many years, I observed a changing culture among students, who evolved from fun-loving risk-takers to rule-following worriers. Universities assumed locus parentis, treating students not as independent adults but vulnerable fledglings. In the book *Coddling of the American Mind*, Greg Lukianoff and Jonathan Haidt described three faulty beliefs that have taken hold in the younger generations:

by NIALL McCRAE

1. The untruth of fragility: setbacks become trauma

2. The untruth of emotional reasoning: feelings elevated over fact

3. The untruth of us versus them: the other side (usually people with traditional or insufficiently woke opinions) are not only wrong but immoral, and must be cancelled

For the first two decades of this century, mainstream media were obsessing over a mental health crisis in younger people. From *The Guardian* to the *Daily Mail*, across the political spectrum, all had the same agenda. This made me suspicious. Was it being pushed by Big Pharma, to expand their lucrative market in antidepressants?

With two fellow lecturers, I conducted a survey of British newspaper coverage of this topic over the years 2016 to 2018. We found an increasingly shrill warning of children's exposure to a psychologically toxic society. The internet was the biggest culprit: particularly addictive gaming in boys and the pressures of social media on girls. Yet little evidence was

provided for a mental health emergency. In fact, there was no significant change in official clinical statistics. Some siren blasts seemed primarily motivated by professional status and funding.

Around the same time, I contributed to a systematic review of research on whether use of social media causes psychological harm to younger people. The result was my most cited academic paper. We found merely a weak correlation, indicating that concerns were overblown. I'm sure that the paper would have been widely publicised if its results had been scarier.

While it cannot be healthy for people to spend their waking hours on their mobile phones, exploited by Big Tech. But one of the surest ways to cause distress in teenagers is to stop them using the internet, or to confiscate their device. Pessimism is justifiable, but society has a tendency to adapt to new technology after initial concerns. In the Victorian age, people were diagnosed with 'railway sickness', a condition attributed to the unnatural movements of train travel.

Ironically, after so much 'cry wolf' on mass mental morbidity, when a real crisis occurred, the media didn't want

to know. Worse – commentators and quoted 'experts' actively promoted contributors to turmoil in teenagers. I'm referring, of course, to lockdown, social distancing, mask-wearing and the government's campaign of fear and the false crutch of vaccination.

The covid-19 regime wreaked acute and lasting psychological damage. Bob Moran, the popular cartoonist, was fired from the *Daily Telegraph* after an online spat with the NHS doctor and covid zealot, Rachel Clarke. I represented Bob for the Workers of England Union, and I heard his anguish over the impact of the covid clampdown on his daughter. She was one of many to suffer.

Belatedly, the newspapers are reporting the carnage. Last week, *The Guardian* reported a new high of 420,314 open referrals to child and adolescent mental health services in the year from April 1, 2021. But this is attributed to covid rather than policy.

Now the World Health Organisation is on a power grab, to ensure global compliance for future pandemics. Remote and unelected bureaucrats could close schools, mandate masks and vaccines for adults and children, and detain healthy people in quarantine

camps. China, the land of zero covid extremism, seems to be the model for public health dictatorship. *The Lancet* published an article lauding the 'life-saving lockdown in Shanghai', despite numerous residents of high-rise apartment blocks jumping to their deaths.

The majority of the public still believe that covid-19 was a plague. Many would shrug their shoulders at a WHO treaty that overrides national sovereignty. Thirty years ago, I read Will Self's *The Quantity Theory of Insanity*, a spoof thesis whereby every society has a quotient of mental disturbance. I always thought that this had merit. In recent decades, schizophrenia has declined, as a floridly psychotic minority has been replaced by a widespread prevalence of angst. Such a condition, as we have seen, was ripe for the mass hysteria of covid-19.

We must protect our children from the paranoia and puritanism of covid-crazed adults. Younger people are our future. Let's nurture them as resilient critical thinkers who can say 'no' to coercion. As George Benson sang, 'teach them well and let them lead the way'.

Keep cash to protect our freedom

Educate others about the dangers of a world without physical currency

INTERVIEW with Debbie Hicks of 'Keep It Cash' campaign by Richard House, regular writer for *The Light* paper.

Richard House [RH]: Debbie, I was so excited and grateful to hear of your new national campaign on challenging the cashless society, and the publicity it's been getting. Can you say something about how this

by RICHARD HOUSE

went on trial for organising and attending a freedom rally in Stroud in November 2020 (during lockdown) and was acquitted. The very same judge concluded that the police had unlawfully interfered with my human rights and that there shouldn't have been a blanket ban on protests. I also have a conviction for attending the first rally against lockdown in Hyde Park in 2020 – the exact same charge. This is why I have crowd-funded to take my conviction for filming the hospital to high court appeal and to take my different outcomes at trials for being at protests to judicial review. These high

tyranny. It will be very difficult for any of us to survive if our earnings, access to money, the benefit system and to food is contingent on strict criteria or behavioural and consumer rules set by the government. This is a technocratic version of the Chinese social credit system.

The Keep It Cash campaign is a street-based initiative. I am touring cities and towns around the UK and the idea is to talk with the people about why they must use cash, and to hopefully inspire and activate local groups to take up localised campaigns to use cash, boycott cashless establishments and keep cashpoints open. I'm also looking to create a

understanding of these issues? How receptive are you finding people are to your message?

DH: The response from the public has been good and supportive. I've met people from all different backgrounds, from working-class lads working on building sites, to elderly retired ladies to a multi-millionaire business owner. They're all in agreement with keeping and using cash. We must talk with and listen to people more: I think more people than we realise understand that what is happening is about control. Our job as activists is to harness this opposition to cashless, before it's too late.


On a final point, the bigger

challenge I'm finding is a more cynical mind-set in the freedom movement to actions to save cash. For me, it is inevitable that the powerful will close in on cryptocurrencies. What they don't want us to know is that cash is up in circulation in all countries, apart from Sweden. Money experts have concluded that people use cash more in times of economic crisis. We are entering the biggest economic crisis in modern history. The time is now to take actions that the public are receptive to, and make the cashless society just a technocrat's dream – and nothing more!

■ <https://t.me/Keepitcash>

Where Cash is King in Europe

Share of point of sale purchases using cash by households in selected European countries



Source: Access to Cash Review - Final Report March 2019

campaign fits with the other issues you've been campaigning about so tirelessly since early 2020?

Debbie Hicks [DH]: The 'Keep It Cash' campaign is really about going for the 'head of the snake', in that everything I have been campaigning for and resisting the last two years – from unlawful and tyrannical lockdowns, misinformation about covid and death, to dangerous vaccines and vax passports – has been about the build-up and process of societal normalisation for a digital currency and cashless society.

To highlight the lies regarding covid and hospitalisation rates, I filmed a very empty Gloucester hospital in December 2020; and after an intense hate and smear campaign in the mass media, I was convicted earlier this year.

Conversely, in December 2021 I

court challenges are highly important, as we now have other successful challenges to use, notably the case regarding the right to assemble over the murder of Sarah Everard.

These issues I am resisting and the high court challenges are all linked – it's about the battle to save what's left of our basic democratic rights.

RH: Thank you for taking on these assaults on our freedoms so courageously! As I understand it, you're saying that all these manifold attacks on our freedoms can only be sustained if they succeed in imposing a digital currency and a cashless society. Can you describe what form your campaign is taking, and how folk can get involved to support the campaign?

DH: Yes, a digital currency and cashless society is ultimate control and

website with a national directory of cash/cashless businesses that can be promoted in local communities.

We need as much help as possible with this campaign. You can follow this campaign on telegram: <https://t.me/Keepitcash>

People can start their own street stalls, boycott campaigns and actions every week, and so work to build up networks of contacts and support with local businesses to keep cash.

RH: Brilliant work, Debbie! The idea of a national directory is great; I'm not a great fan of public naming and shaming, but if publishing lists of cashless businesses that we should all avoid can work, then I say 'Bring it on!' One final question; what's been the reception you've been receiving so far, and what have you learned about the current level of awareness and

WHAT WE CAN DO

- Retrain your habits to get cash out every time you go out.
- Warn your friends, family and colleagues about the dangers of being trapped in the banking system.
- Remove payment apps from your phone.
- Do not give your money to cashless businesses – boycott them and urge others to do so. Email them (including the head office) telling them why you're boycotting them.
- Campaign to educate, and if necessary boycott, locally based cashless businesses. Speak with and persuade struggling small businesses first, rather than immediately boycotting them; tell them they could be discriminating against disabled people under the Equality Act.
- If you do run a business, explore more cash-friendly bank accounts so that taking cash and paying in cash is easier (check <https://www.moneysupermarket.com/current-accounts/business-bank-accounts/results/>).
- Do not use self-service checkouts – they're paving the way to a cashless society and putting people out of jobs. Wait, and insist that they open up a till.
- Cancel credit cards – especially Mastercard, who have committed themselves to a world without cash.
- Start paying your bills with cash at the post office.
- Create your own local directory for cash/cashless businesses.
- Actively canvass local councillors, MPs and famous people for support.
- Join us at the Keep It Cash campaign
- Social media links: Facebook group: Keeping Cash Action Group

Power of the pound appeal

by PEOPLE'S HEALTH ALLIANCE

Emergency Power of the Pound appeal launched by the People's Health Alliance

HAVE you taken part in a protest, rally or some other form of freedom demonstration in the past two years?

The newly-formed People's Health Alliance is calling for all those who care about health and freedom to join it in an ambitious project to change the future of UK healthcare forever.

Whilst its plans are bold, PHA is saying that, if everybody who has sacrificed time and funds to attend a freedom protest simply donates £1 per month, it will achieve its goal.

And it is looking for ONE MILLION people to take part.

In just two months since PHA was launched, more than 80 community health hubs, with an average of 100 health practitioners plus large numbers of volunteers in each, have started to form across the UK, with the goal of providing practical, alternative health options for all as NHS health services collapse.

Now PHA has launched its Power of the Pound emergency appeal, to help supply the hubs with emergency equipment and advanced first-aid training. The appeal follows an announcement by West Midlands Ambulance Service that it will stop answering 999 calls from August.

Katherine Macbean, of PHA, says its plan to initially focus solely on primary care has had to be reworked following the news and that it is vital that we, The People, join together to try and prevent needless deaths as ambulance services break down.

"The Power of the Pound will enable one million people to play a small part in funding emergency back-up when ambulance services fail to respond," says Katherine.

"This projected collapse has been described as a 'Titanic moment' and, although our initial plans did not include support of emergency care so soon, we have no choice



but to respond immediately to this cataclysmic threat to life," she adds.

"People are already dying because of ambulance delays. Just imagine how bad things will get if ambulance services stop answering 999 calls altogether. This is a crisis that could impact any of us at any moment. We just cannot stand by and do nothing."

"We know that so many of us spent far more than £1 on attending some form of protest in the past two years. Just think what we can achieve if we all come together as one and get behind the Power of the Pound."

"We won't just be protesting about the outrageous wrongs that are taking place, we will be doing something about them and taking charge of our own health and our own futures."

Created in February and officially launched in April, PHA's goal is to bring together conventional and alternative health practitioners, and empower people in local communities to take charge of their own health. It wants to support health professionals choosing to leave the NHS, ensuring that vital skills are not lost, as well as help the vaccine-injured, the young and those with mental health issues.

It believes that its Power of the Pound appeal will enable all to play a small part in attempting to prevent huge numbers of needless deaths as emergency services collapse.

"We understand the enormity of the goal we have set," says Katherine, "but look at what has been achieved so far. We started in February as a group of volunteers with a kitty of just £200."

"We, The People, have risen to the challenge and, already, we have 80

health hubs forming across the UK and the number is growing every day."

"We also understand the economic pressures that many of us face. The point is that £1 is affordable for almost everybody and there isn't any time to lose. We are in an emergency and one of those unanswered 999 calls this summer could be for us or for somebody we love."

"We need to join together to take action and we need to do it now."

The PHA has pledged to be fully publicly accountable and transparent when it comes to allocation of all funds. Its Power of the Pound appeal is one of its most ambitious projects to date and, as part of the campaign, it is also urgently appealing for trained first aiders and for medical equipment suppliers to step forward and help.

"If a million of us each contribute the price of a can of baked beans each month, we will be in a position to take emergency action," says Katherine.

"It is down to The People now and we can do this if each one of us simply contributes £1."

"We cannot leave this to others to solve this crisis. We should all know by now that this isn't going to happen. So what have we got to lose by responding to this appeal? More importantly, what have we got to lose if we don't?"

To donate to the Power of the Pound - www.the-ph.org/appeal

For more information about the People's Health Alliance and to volunteer - www.the-ph.org

Fearless speech is our calling in an age of lies

by RICHARD C. HOUSE, PH.D., C.PSYCHOL

Smash 'regimes of truth' by speaking out

THE late, controversial French philosopher, Michel Foucault, (1926–84) made many seminal contributions to critical social theory.

One of my personal favourites is his notion of 'regimes of truth', a term denoting the way in which, once a way of thinking and ideological system have been normalised and entrenched in a culture, it becomes extremely difficult for anyone to think outside of the confines of such a legitimised 'truth regime'. The mainstream covid narrative is a classic recent example of a Foucauldian regime of truth.

But of particular relevance in the age of covid is Foucault's notion of 'fearless speech'. In his voluminous writings, Foucault helped us to understand that power is everywhere, whether it be overtly exercised, as in institutional politics, or less tangibly, through its diverse and richly-nuanced deployment in all human relational situations. The late renegade Jungian writer, James Hillman, also argued that no single definition of power is adequate, showing us how power is multi-faceted and permeates our daily lives, influencing our behaviour and our choices in multiple conscious and unconscious ways – and not least through language.

Drawing on Foucault's work, in the age of deceit, I find the idea of fearlessly speaking personal and professional truth to (political) power very compelling. In the early 1980s, Foucault gave a series of lectures on 'parrhesia', which refers to the Greek notion of fearless speech, or the 'telling of the unvarnished truth'. His book *Fearless Speech* (Semiotext(e), Los Angeles, 2001) comprises transcriptions (from audio tapes) of six lectures Foucault delivered at the University of California, Berkeley, in October and November 1983.

'Parrhesia' refers to the speech of someone who has the moral qualities to speak the truth, even if it defies conventional viewpoints or is otherwise dangerous. For Foucault, "parrhesia" possesses five key features:

- frankness, an expression of the speaker's own opinion;
- truth, i.e., saying what one believes without qualification;
- danger, acknowledging that there is some risk entailed in telling the truth;
- criticism, which describes the

source of the risk, conveyed through penetrating insight; and finally,

- parrhesia should be considered a social duty, and a right.

Parrhesia, then, is a type of speech that mandates its own telling, with the parrhesiastes, or truth-teller, being one who puts her - or him - self at considerable risk, even risk of death. Parrhesia can, therefore, be seen as an essential prerequisite for truth-centred campaigning and advocacy. I immediately think of the long list of magnificent doctors, scientists and other professionals (we all know who they are,) who have been exemplary in exercising fearless speech in the face of the covid propaganda onslaught – with little if any regard to the professional and personal impact upon them and their professional lives. Our eternal heartfelt thanks to all of them.

In the current age of lie-mongering, flagrant power-propaganda and censorship, I think we urgently need to follow the example of these great truth-telling leaders, and incorporate parrhesia into our everyday modes of thinking and speaking. We should always be asking ourselves the reflexive question: To what extent are 'we' speaking as subjects, and to what extent are ideology and propaganda 'speaking' through us?

We can also ask whether our speech is emancipatory, truth-seeking to the best of our abilities, contributing to the complexity of thinking, rather than its dumbing down, and leaving more questions open than closed. Is our thinking creatively breaking new ground, or are we merely regurgitating propaganda narratives programmed into us by a relentless, uni-message mainstream media? There are parallels in all this with thinkers like philosophers Rudolf Steiner and Martin Heidegger, who respectively argued for 'living' thinking, and against dead, 'calculative' thinking.

Many readers of this great newspaper will know from personal experience how tough it can be to stand on the street dispensing literature aimed at revealing the truth, when so many people are still mesmerised and entranced by the covid 'regime of truth', with its paradigmatic assault on freedom. And when we wish or need to call upon inner resources of courage to maintain and deepen our commitment to truth-telling, I find it very empowering and re-affirming to remember parrhesia, and to picture Michel Foucault and his megaphone, daring to speak the truth – whatever the consequences. For the latter is surely the only choice we have – every one of us – if we want a human world that's worth living in.

www.independentviewpoints.net

Vegetarian

Twenty One Reasons For Being Vegetarian

Vernon Coleman

The meat industry, ever deceitful and mercenary, has created a good many myths and misconceptions to promote meat eating. These myths (let's be honest and just call them lies) are regularly paraded by bent doctors and journalists – in the same way that bent doctors and journalists promote vaccines.

The shills spew lies with the same enthusiasm as the insane global-warming cultists – the lunatics who fervently claim that if we all live on avocado and bean-shoot salads then we'll save St Paul's Cathedral from drowning. You can safely ignore them all. The truth is that human beings are omnivores not carnivores. The sharp

teeth give us a choice not a compulsion. Eating meat is an option not a necessity. You don't need to eat meat any more than you need to eat marzipan or those multi-coloured sprinkles people put on birthday cakes and trifles.

Here are 21 carefully argued reasons to be vegetarian:

1 The shills say that without meat we won't get enough iron. But there is plenty of iron in green, leafy vegetables, nuts, cereals and beans. Foods rich in vitamin C eaten at the same time as iron-containing food will considerably increase absorption – increasing it by a factor of five. A good, well-balanced vegetarian diet will contain plenty of iron. Although it is true that meat contains iron, the irony is that meat eaters are often more likely to develop iron deficiency anaemia because they tend to eat less fruit and vegetables.

2 Avoiding meat is one of the best and simplest ways to cut down your fat consumption. Modern farm animals are deliberately fattened up to increase profits. Eating fatty meat increases your chances of having a heart attack or developing cancer.

3 There are millions of cases of food poisoning recorded every year. The vast majority of all those cases are caused by eating meat.

4 'Meat' can include the tail, head, feet, rectum and spinal cord of an animal, and a sausage may contain ground-up intestines. How can anyone be sure that the intestines are empty when they are ground up? Do you really want to eat the contents of a pig's intestines?

5 If an animal has cancer when it is killed, meat eaters will eat the cancer. Do you really want to sit down to dinner and eat a large chunk of cancer?

6 The world's fresh water shortage is being made worse by animal farming. And meat producers are the biggest polluters of water. It takes 2,500 gallons of water to produce one pound of meat. If the meat industry in America wasn't supported by the taxpayer paying a large proportion of its water costs then hamburger meat would cost \$35 a pound. Run-off water from farms does massive damage to rivers and underground water supplies and, therefore, to drinking water supplies.

7 If you eat meat you are consuming hormones that were fed to the animals. No one knows what effect those hormones will have on your health. In some parts of the world as many as one in four hamburgers contains growth hormones that were originally given to cattle. Attempts to outlaw the use of hormones have proved remarkably ineffective.

8 The following diseases have been proved to be commoner among meat eaters: anaemia, appendicitis, arthritis, breast cancer, cancer of the colon, cancer of the prostate, constipation, diabetes, gallstones, gout, high blood pressure, indigestion, obesity, piles, strokes and varicose veins. (My book 'Meat causes Cancer and other Food for Thought', which I wrote in 1994, contains extracts from 26 scientific papers beyond argument proving that eating meat causes cancer.)

9 Lifelong vegetarians visit hospital 22% less often than meat eaters – and for shorter stays. Now that we have poorly managed hospitals, long waiting lists and a dead or dying health service, this is incredibly important.

10 Vegetarians have 20% lower blood cholesterol levels than meat eaters (I'm not sure how much cholesterol levels really matter – but a naturally lower level won't do you harm.)

11 Some farmers use tranquillisers to keep animals calm. The result is that when you eat meat you are eating the residues of those drugs. And those residues are often very powerful.

12 Considerably more than half of all the antibiotics sold are given by farmers to healthy animals so that they will produce more meat. The percentage of infections resistant to antibiotics such as penicillin has risen dramatically as a result.

13 Every minute of every working day thousands of animals are killed in slaughterhouses. Many animals are bled to death. Pain and misery are commonplace. In America alone, 500,000 animals are killed for meat every hour. Animals who die for your dinner table die in terror, in sadness and in pain. The killing is often inhumane. Some religions insist that animals are killed inhumanely by having their throats cut. Conditions in abattoirs are often disgusting.

14 Vegetarians are fitter than meat eaters. Many of the world's most successful athletes are vegetarian.

16 African countries export millions of tons of grain to the developed world so that animals can be fattened for the dining tables of the affluent nations. If we all ate the plants we grow – instead of feeding them to animals – the world's food shortage would disappear virtually overnight. One hundred acres of land will produce enough beef for 20 people, but enough wheat to feed 240 people. This year, hundreds of millions will die of starvation in Africa and Asia. Most of those deaths will be unnecessary.

17 Every day tens of millions of one-day-old male chicks are killed because they will not be able to lay eggs. There are no rules about how this mass slaughter takes place. Some are crushed or suffocated to death. Many are used for fertiliser or fed to other animals.



18 It is much easier to become – and stay – slim if you are vegetarian. By 'slim' I do not mean 'abnormally slender' or 'underweight', but rather, an absence of excess weight.

20 Animals suffer from pain and fear just as much as you do. How would you like to spend your last hours locked in a truck and then cruelly pushed into a blood-soaked death chamber? Animals being transported sometimes spend days packed into two-storey lorries. Some of the animals die of starvation before they reach their destination. The animals on the lower level are subjected to a constant shower of faeces and urine from the animals above them. Anyone who eats meat condones and supports the way animals are treated.

21 Animals which are a year old are often far more rational – and capable of logical thought – than six-week-old babies. I once kept four sheep as pets – they were intelligent and sensitive. They knew their names and could pick me out of a crowd. Evidence suggests that pigs and sheep are brighter than dogs and brighter than small children. If you wouldn't eat a dog or a small child then you shouldn't eat a sheep, a pig or a cow.

So, that's it...

Eat meat if you can't live without the taste or the texture. (And I readily admit that most of the fake meats produced in laboratories don't much taste like the real thing.)

But don't kid yourself that meat will keep you healthy. It won't. All the available evidence proves that eating meat will do tremendous damage to your health. Vegetarians are healthier than meat eaters and they live longer too.

And the argument that we should avoid meat to

save the Earth is manipulative bollocks designed by the conspirators who are behind the Great Reset, the covid fraud and various social credit schemes.

Finally, there are two very good, straightforward reasons to avoid eating bits of dead animal.

First, because you like animals, disapprove of the way they are farmed and transported, and would rather be friends with them than eat them. (And animals can make very good friends.) That's why I've been a vegetarian for decades.

Second, because you want to stay healthy.

Carnivore

I'm a health and diet coach, and I have studied and written extensively about diet for 40 years.

For 30 of those years, I was veggie/vegan, ending up with multiple autoimmune and metabolic issues including crippling rheumatoid arthritis. I had to throw out all I thought I knew to heal. For the last seven years, I have eaten absolutely

nothing but red meat – no plants at all. I have reversed all my issues and seen this happen in my many clients, with none of the recurrences and nutritional deficiencies that often occur later in plant-based disease reversal cases. This would be impossible if any mainstream diet theories were true. The spectacular healing among the rapidly growing carnivore community is

highlighting all the holes in dietary dogma. Widespread understanding of our species-specific diet could ruin Big Pharma and Big Food, so they conceal it with hard-to-peel layers of deception and half-truths.

Here are 20 points dispelling the myth that meat is bad for humans or the Earth:

1

Studies 'proving' meat is carcinogenic or causes heart disease (regularly funded by such criminals as the WHO etc) are epidemiological nonsense, done on people who eat mostly plants anyway – pizza, pasta, cereals, bread, fruits, veg and deadly seed oils. There are too many confounders. The meat they do eat is largely factory farmed pork and chicken, still probably the best part of their diet, but not ideal for humans or the environment. See the YouTube video *WHO Says Meat Causes Cancer?* by Dr. Georgia Ede, and the cholesterol con, based on Ancel Keys' biased, sugar industry funded "lipid hypothesis" in the 1950s.

2

A 100% grass-fed beef and lamb carnivore diet regularly reverses everything said to be caused by meat – heart disease, diabetes, autoimmunity, depression and other 'incurable' issues. Carnivores also have totally clear coronary artery calcium scans and very low inflammatory blood markers, increase muscle mass and bone density, lose body fat and achieve their ideal weight without calorie restriction. See revero.com for extensive evidence. Harvard conducted a carnivore study recently with impressive results, the first diet study ever done without confounders.

3

Quality meat has all the nutrients we need in the most bioavailable form with zero toxins or anti-nutrients. There's even enough vitamin C (in the absence of carbohydrates, which compete for the same pathway). No scurvy among carnivores.

4

Surprisingly, plants are full of naturally occurring toxins – glutens, salicylates, goitrogens, glycoalkaloids, saponins, oxalates, phytic acid, lectins and many others that build up slowly, affecting multiple organ systems, and can take years to clear. Organic Brussels sprouts contain 136 carcinogens. The least toxic veg has 60. Any nutrients plants have on paper are bound up in indigestible cellulose (fibre) and therefore minimally bioavailable. Plant anti-nutrients even hinder absorption of nutrients in meat eaten alongside them.

5

Fibre causes all the problems it's said to cure. Zero fibre diets result in perfect digestion and elimination, as all carnivores discover to their surprise. See the book, *The Fiber Menace* by Konstantin Monastyrsky.

6

Plants are high in deuterium, a major factor in mitochondrial dysfunction (read cancer etc), which few are even aware of. Fatty meat from ruminant animals is the lowest deuterium food available. Search my name on YouTube plus 'deuterium' for more info.

7

We need no carbohydrates beyond the demand-driven glucose produced in the liver via gluconeogenesis. A person running on fats has stable energy and glucose/insulin levels as opposed to the sugar highs and crashes of carb/plant eaters.

9

Correct study of our digestive system shows we are actually facultative carnivores with a gut structure and pH more like a scavenger such as a hyena – even more acidic than an obligate carnivore such as a lion.

10

It's not only junk food that's man made. Almost none of the so-called 'essential' modern fruit and veg grow naturally in the wild or even existed 200 years ago. Humans are the only species intelligent enough to make their own food, and stupid enough to eat it.

11

Bone isotope studies prove what we predominantly ate for millennia was fatty meat from mammoths, aurochs and other large ruminants, which got us through winters and ice ages when no plants were available. See the paper by Dr. Miki Ben Dor in *The American Journal of Physical Anthropology*.

8

When fat-adapted, we run on ketones, the preferred fuel for our bodies and brains, often even reversing Alzheimers, which growing evidence suggests is 'type 3 diabetes'.

13

It is argued that the low average lifespans of hunter/gatherers are because of meat, but that's actually due to infant mortality and lack of trauma care. The many who do get to old age, often to 100-plus, have none of our modern chronic diseases, which, along with reduced height and skull size, first appeared in skeletal remains about 10,000 years ago when crop farming began.

14

Countries with significant vegetarian populations, such as India, are the world leaders in diabetes and autoimmunity, and plant-based people commonly die from chronic disease. 'Blue Zone' researchers used cherry-picked data and grossly misrepresented the actual diets of the studied cultures.

15

Crop agriculture kills billions of wild animals by destroying their habitats, causing agonising deaths via pesticides and being chewed up in combine harvesters. It also depletes the soil, which ex-vegan Lierre Keith, in her superb book, *The Vegetarian Myth*, describes as the 'agripocalypse'.

16

Regenerative animal agriculture kills the fewest animals, has zero impact on the environment... and even sequesters carbon (for those who still believe in the climate myth). Beef and lamb are actually the most 'vegan' items on the menu.

17

Reintroducing ruminant animals onto the land restores the soil and ecosystems, even restoring deserts to pasture. This would do away with factory farming, which we all agree is disgraceful. Research Allan Savory and The Savory Institute.

18

Only about 3% of the world's land is suitable for crops, whereas over 20% is suitable for grazing, so we could actually feed the population on good meat.

19

Meat tastes better than plants. This is why plant-based eaters often crave toxic chemical-laden meat substitutes. We carnivores never make imitation broccoli out of steak.

20

The same jab and lockdown-pushing elites are also pushing plant-based diets via faked human and animal pandemics and the climate hoax. This alone should be enough to raise serious suspicions.



In conclusion...

Ancient foods do not cause modern diseases... or modern environmental damage. Many who have seen through all other aspects of the global reset still fail to see through the diet myths, largely due to the false belief that plant-based diets are compassionate, which gives a sense of moral high ground.

Like other carnivore influencers, I get regular

attacks and even death threats from militant vegans, many of whom come to me later for help when their health declines. Carnivores are generally very calm in the face of these attacks, as their brains are correctly nourished, and they are no longer in aggressive hunting mode.

Don't trust me... Try full carnivory for 30 days if healthy or 90 days if reversing an issue. You might just be as astonished... as all we carnivores were!

For more info on all the above, see my Phil Escott YouTube channel, particularly *Our Ancestral Diet - Wake Up Or They Will Make It Illegal* and *Vernon Coleman - You Are Wrong About Meat*. I must stress that I love and respect Vernon's other work. Both videos have extensive links to further research. After my long history of yoga and meditation, I also cover the spiritual side of diet in several videos and my podcast, *The Red Pill Buddhas*.

Also research the many radiantly healthy fully carnivore doctors such as my friends Shawn Baker, Anthony Chaffee, Zsófia Clemens and Ken Berry. For a really deep dive, see our upcoming book, *The Red Pill Food Revolution*, detailing all the ways we have been fooled about diet over the centuries by elites, corporations and religious and spiritual leaders in their quest for money, power and control. For updates on that and much more, visit theredpillrevolution.com.

Twenty Reasons For A Being Carnivore

Phil Escott

Fifth largest life insurance company in the U.S. paid out 163% more for deaths in 2021

LINCOLN National Life Insurance reported a 163% increase in death benefits paid out under its group life insurance policies in 2021.

This is according to the annual statements filed with state insurance departments — statements that were provided exclusively to Crossroads Report in response to public records requests.

The reports show a more extreme situation than the 40% increase in deaths in the third quarter of 2021 that was cited in late December by One America CEO Scott Davison — an increase that he said was industry-wide

and that he described at the time as ‘unheard of’, ‘huge, huge numbers’ and the highest death rates that have ever been seen in the history of the life insurance business.

The annual statements show that the company paid out in death benefits under group life insurance policies a little over \$500 million in 2019, about \$548 million in 2020, and a stunning \$1.4 billion in 2021.

From 2019 to 2020, the year of the covid-19, there was an increase in group death benefits paid out of only 9 percent. But group death benefits in 2021, the year the vaccine was introduced, increased over 163 percent

over 2020.

How many deaths are represented by the 163% increase? It is not possible to determine by the dollar figures on the statements.

If the average annual salary of people covered by group life insurance policies in the United States is \$70,000, this may represent 20,647 deaths of working adults. This would represent at least 10,000 more deaths than in a normal year for just this one company.

■ <https://crossroadsreport.substack.com/p/breaking-fifth-largest-life-insurance>

Dutch lift cap on coal production amid gas crisis in Europe

DESPITE pledges to fulfil ‘carbon reduction targets’ for Agenda 2030, the Dutch government have lifted the cap on coal production which had only been in place since January and restricted the Netherlands’ coal power stations to just 35% of capacity.

Back in May Russia’s Gazprom cut off gas supplies to Dutch gas trader GasTerra after it had failed to pay for deliveries in Russian rubles as requested by Russian President

Vladimir Putin in March.

That decision means Gazprom will not deliver some 2 billion cubic metres of gas to the Netherlands between now and October 1, when its contract with GasTerra was set to end.

“Because the risk of gas shortages has increased, the cabinet has decided today to withdraw the production limitation for coal-fired power stations for 2022 to 2024 with immediate effect,” climate and energy minister Rob Jetten said in a statement announcing the move.

“There are currently no acute gas shortages in the Netherlands, but the declining gas supplies could have consequences,” the minister said.

The latest decision by the Dutch government comes just a day after Germany’s economy minister, Robert Habeck, said that the country will limit the use of natural gas for electricity production, and instead increase coal burning to compensate. The move comes amid decreased supplies from Russia and fears over potential shortages.

Austria finally lift mandate on vaccines

AUSTRIA’S health minister announced Thursday that the country is scrapping a dormant coronavirus vaccine mandate, saying the measure risked polarising society and could even lead to fewer people getting the shot.

The government announced plans last year requiring all people aged 18 and over to get vaccinated against covid-19, the first country in Europe to do so. The law took effect in February, but lawmakers suspended the mandate

before police were due to enforce it in mid-March.

Health Minister Johannes Rauch said the rise of new virus variants had changed citizens’ perception of the effectiveness and necessity of a vaccination, even among those willing to get the shot.

This could deter them from voluntarily getting booster shots that will help curb the outbreak in autumn, he said.

The vaccine mandate hinders some people who are generally willing to get the shot from taking the booster, the

idea being: I’m not going to be told what to do,” said Rauch.

He said current hardships such as inflation and high energy prices, and fears surrounding the war in Ukraine, had contributed to tensions in society.

“We need every millimetre of solidarity and cohesion to cope with the coming months and years,” said Rauch, “and the debate surrounding compulsory vaccination and the hardening of positions over this question tore open rifts and did away with that solidarity.”

Massive protests in Sri Lanka amid total economic collapse

“WE are now facing a far more serious situation beyond mere shortages of fuel, gas, electricity, and food. Our economy has faced a complete collapse,” Prime Minister Ranil Wickremesinghe told Parliament on June 22.

“It is no easy task to revive a country with a completely collapsed economy, especially one that is dangerously low on foreign reserves.”

Sri Lanka will hold a credit aid conference with India, China, and Japan for loan packages. Wickremesinghe said the goal is to reach a “general consensus” on the lending processes as each country has its own system for granting loans.

Wickremesinghe said that Sri Lanka has received a \$4 billion credit line from India and requested

additional loan assistance from the neighbouring country.

Despite these efforts, the Sri Lankan President Rajapaksa and Prime Minister have both announced they will step down due to massive protests which saw tens of thousands of protesters storm the presidential palace on July 9. The president was escorted to safety but announced his intended resignation shortly after.


Parliament Speaker Mahinda Yapa Abeywardena told the nation in a televised announcement late Saturday that Rajapaksa will resign Wednesday “to ensure a peaceful transition.” The Sri Lankan constitution says if Rajapaksa and Wickremesinghe both resign, the parliament speaker will assume power for one month.

■ *via the Epoch Times.*

Amish natural farmer fined heavily by U.S. judge for not following government regulations

AMOS Miller and his family has been running Miller’s Organic Farm for over a century, providing willing and highly satisfied customers with milk, chicken, beef, and eggs. All of the food coming from Miller’s farm is beyond organic, humanely raised in a non-factory setting and the animals treated with dignity as they spend their entire lives naturally and stress-free out on pasture. By any moral standard, Miller’s farm is the leading example of what farming in America should look like.

Unfortunately, because Miller uses humane techniques and treats his animals well, this has put a government target on his back. Recently, federal Judge Edward G. Smith, imposed sanctions on his farm, ordering the farming family to pay over \$250,000 in fines or go to jail. Because the Millers don’t use the USDA factory farm methods, this makes them non-compliant and thus



an enemy of the state.

According to the USDA, the farm is not complying with USDA regulations on how to label and process their food. But Amos says the methods which they use predate the USDA and the farm and its members have a right to free assembly and the right to choose how their food is processed without the USDA dictating to them on how to do it.

To be clear, not a single one of the farm’s customers has filed a complaint. The USDA is unilaterally going after the farm for failing to use their approved slaughter houses, which stress and harm the animals before they are killed.

■ *from freethoughtproject.com*

Former Villa star shows world class

by PAUL BENNETT

PARIS Saint-Germain (PSG) and former Aston Villa footballer, Idrissa Gana Gueye, recently defied the fierce propaganda that comes with promoting LGBT rights by withdrawing from a Ligue 1 match, in which he was expected to adorn his jersey with the rainbow colours.

The practising Muslim cited ‘personal reasons’ as the rationale for pulling out of the match against Montpellier, which was marking the International Day Against Homophobia, Biphobia and Transphobia.

The Senegalese President Macky Sall showed his support by saying in a Twitter post: “I support Idrissa Gana Gueye. His religious convictions must be respected.” Gueye has also received support from his Senegalese teammates. English Premiership footballer and Watford FC star Ismaila Sarr on his Instagram account posted a picture of himself standing next to Idrissa with fist and heart emojis and a message of “100 per cent”. Cheikhou Kouyate who plays for London club Crystal Palace also supported him by calling him a “real man” and adding, “We wholeheartedly support you brother.”

His admirable stance is a far cry from the pathetic woke English



national football team, who constantly engage in virtue signalling. Captain Harry Kane religiously wears a rainbow-coloured armband for “trying to kick out all inequalities there are.” The English national team also continue to take the now tired knee before every match. After a dismal four-nil home defeat to Hungary, deputy leader of The Reclaim Party UK Martin Daubney tweeted: “Southgate needs to go. But our PC media tied itself to his mast so hard after taking the knee. He’s a walking spreadsheet, time to go, this is utterly

dreadful.” With all the nauseating ‘do-goodery’ present in football, it will be interesting to see how many speak out about the inequalities and human rights infringements in Qatar, home of the 2022 Football World Cup.

Brendan O’Neill, columnist with *The Spectator*, commented on the issue surrounding Gueye by saying: “Pride, it seems to me, is no longer about celebrating gay freedom, but rather has become a globalised orgy of virtue signalling. Adorning oneself or one’s business in the rainbow colours is really a way of saying ‘I’m good.

I’m nice. I have the correct views. And by extension, anyone who rejects the rainbow is viewed as suspect, as a sinner, as someone who might require a spot of re-education.”

With all the furore around Gueye’s absence, interestingly very few people have highlighted the obvious hypocrisy - that French football giants PSG are owned by Tamin bin Hamad Al Tani from Qatar Sports Investments. According to the Human Dignity Trust on their official website: “The Constitution of Qatar designates Islam as the state religion, and Islamic

Law as the main source of legislation. As such, in addition to the Penal Code, Qatar operates an interpretation of Sharia Law which criminalises sexual activity between men, under which it is possible that the death penalty can be imposed.”

As expected, when one goes against the tiresome and cringing Pride narrative, Idrissa Gana Gueye was met with an angry tyrannical mob. The French Football Federation (FFF) accused him of “validating discriminatory behaviour.” In trying to bully and isolate Gueye, the ethics council of the FFF stated: “There are two possibilities. Either these allegations are unfounded, and we invite you to speak without delay to silence the rumours. For example, we invite you to accompany your message with a photograph wearing said shirt. Or the rumours are true, and we invite you to realise the impact of your act, and the grave error committed.”

The FFF finished their faux moralising by saying: “The impact of football on society and the capacity for footballers to be a role model for those who admire them gives us all a particular responsibility.”

Despite what the haters say, many people across the world will now view Idrissa Gana Gueye as the perfect role model for firmly sticking to his beliefs and principles in a sport where values and honour are now a thing of the past.

Brazilian President will uphold sovereignty against WHO pandemic treaty

by PAUL BENNETT

Brazilian President Jair Bolsonaro has expressed genuine concerns regarding the intentions of the World Health Organisation (WHO) in pursuing a power-grab treaty.

Under the guise of ‘pandemic preparedness’ the WHO is seeking to ratify a pandemic treaty that will allow them to exert power over one’s health and will grant them absolute control over our entire lives.

President Bolsonaro told reporters: “National sovereignty is not something to be handed over to WHO or other entities and to be renounced just to join a club of seemingly advanced nations. Brazil will not get into this

[WHO Pandemic Treaty]. Brazil is autonomous. I have already spoken to our foreign relations cabinet and if that proposal goes forward, it won’t be with Brazil.”

Bolsonaro added: “Moreover, I was the only statesman that didn’t adhere to the lockdown policies. I said we had to take care of the elderly and people with co-morbidities, and today studies outside of Brazil especially show that I was right. And check this out, which state locked itself the most in Brazil? Sao Paulo. Which state had the most deaths per 100,000 people? Sao Paulo. That’s a sign I was right.”

Some uncompromised and fair media circles are also beginning to highlight the monumental significance of the planned treaty. The beatifully soft-spoken and wise Scot, Neil Oliver from *GB News*,

perfectly summed up the nefarious treaty by stating: “Be in no doubt, this so-called pandemic treaty is the single, greatest global power grab that any of us has seen in our lifetime. It is nothing less than the groundwork, the laying of deep foundations for global governance through the WHO.”

He finished by passionately saying: “We owe it to ourselves. Perhaps we even owe it to them - to tell them that they are living in a fantasy world of their own creation and that we want none of it. Let them have the gall to seek to sign away our freedoms in such a high-handed manner, this month, or in 2024. I for one am not playing along. As Patrick MacGoohan’s character said in *The Prisoner*: “I am not a number, I am a free man.”

Support is gathering across the



world in trying to stop the insidious power grab by the unelected WHO to destroy sovereignty across the world. The hashtag #StopTheTreaty is rapidly going viral and many more people across the world are finally

coming out from their long slumber and questioning the narrative.

It is refreshing to see a world leader from a powerful country like Brazil stand up for his people and their sovereignty.

THE HIDDEN SECRET

Endothermic Energy Generator Designs and

STANLEY MEYER 1940 - 1998

THEY POISONED ME!

These were the last words uttered by Inventor Stanley Meyer in 1998. Whilst meeting with two potential investors, his brother Stephen said, Stan took a sip of the cranberry juice he had been served, grabbed his neck and ran outside. He then, dropped to his knees, vomited violently and said, "They poisoned me", these were the last words of Stanley Meyer. The autopsy report stated he died of a brain aneurysm.


Back in the 1970s, Meyer had the foresight to see the energy crisis that was looming, and being an inventor he set out to invent a water powered car. He fought bravely for twenty years to bring forward his inventions and technology, making applications for hundreds of patents. He had invented an energy generator that would, just like Joseph Westley Newman's invention, that was discussed in part one of this article (issue 21), revolutionise energy altogether. His invention, a car with an endothermic electric hydrogen generator would run completely on water, travelling an estimated 200 miles per gallon of water. Water holds infinite energy for us to use when considered as gases rather than just liquid. Meyer had designed a generator that would pulse a small amount of electric current at a high voltage into a hydrogen cell at 20,000 cycles per second.

Pulsing electricity within certain parameters, will allow the cell to stay in an endothermic charge state (the first reaction in a charging electric field as shown in part 2, issue 22), rather than becoming exothermic and waste heat. The result being; electrons from the water molecules are attracted to the anode of the generator; producing a rearrangement of the water molecules into hydrogen and oxygen gases, and hydrogen gases are collected at the cathode (opposites attract) and oxygen gas at the anode electrode. This process, similar to Newman's machine, produced energy at more than a thousand per cent efficiency on the output when compared to the inputted power. Again, just like any other inventor or scientist that challenges academia and the power brokers, their inventions and knowledge is branded as pseudo-science, and as perpetual motion machines. You can check out what is said about Stanley Meyer on Wikipedia, the disinformation site.

Figure 2:
Meyer's schematic included in one of his patent applications. B+ and B- are supplied with a pulsed current at high voltage from the choking coil (which starts and stops the electrical power input) to produce a continuous endothermic charge to split the water, unbeknown, this hydrogen generator is one of the greatest inventions of all time.



Figure 1: Stanley Meyer pictured with his water powered car.



For further study please go to:
[www.endothermic-electicity.com](http://www.endothermic-electricity.com)

OF ELECTRICITY PART 3

how they have been killed off

by GEOFF BLANCHE

Figure 3: Edwin Gray pictured with his energy generator.





Figure 4:
Schematic of
Gray's generator.
It has pulsed
current supplied
by a battery
power supply,
again the energy
delivery pulsed
parameter control
is similar to Meyer's
and Newman's
approach, with a
different system
design. Gray used
switching and a
capacitor to create
the pulsed electric
charge delivery to
the spark gap.

EDWIN GRAY 1925 - 1989

Edwin Gray died in mysterious circumstances in 1989, he was 64 and in good health. Edwin was an inventor of an endothermic electricity generator just like Newman.

He was born in 1925 and became interested in electronics and energy in the 1940s, and studied advanced engineering whilst enlisted in the USA army, before enlisting for three years combat duty in WW2. After the war Gray continued his studies, and after being turned down by every major corporation and venture capital group he approached to promote his inventions, he formed his own limited partnership in 1971. Gray experienced many fights with authority during his attempts to bring forward his

technology. Through the 1980s he wrote letters to every member of Congress, both Senators and Representatives, as well as the President, Vice President, and every member of the Cabinet. Remarkably, in response to this letter writing campaign, Gray did not receive a single reply or even an acknowledgment! During the early 1980s, Gray lived in Idaho, where he wrote and was granted his other two U.S. Patents. By 1986, he had a facility in Grande Prairie, Texas, where a number of new prototype endothermic motors were built. By 1989, he was working on propulsion applications using this technology. Edwin V. Gray died at his shop facilities in Sparks, Nevada, in April 1989.

CONCLUSION

The introduction of endothermic electricity energy generation into society would allow for energy independence, and the liberation of the reliance on the current energy providers. Standalone, clean, renewable, very cheap power would be at everyone's finger tips.

THIS IS WHAT THE POWER CONTROLLING ELITE'S FEAR.

No longer would they be able to accumulate wealth like they do today. Big Oil's influence would be significantly reduced; Solar; Wind; and Nuclear Power would become redundant, with the **NEW ENDOTHERMIC GENERATORS** being thousand of per cent more efficient and the choice technology. People would become independent for energy, no longer requiring grid connection or the petrol station. This would be a turning point in the history of the world, but without a continued effort to spread the knowledge by all to this crime being perpetrated on us,

this new reality will not come about.

The knowledge of this technology is being severely suppressed - it is simply not being allowed by the rulers.

I personally have been recently failed for my Masters of Science Research [3] by Swansea University, where they try and stop my thesis on this science. I urge any budding engineer/scientist to study endothermic electricity.

Academia is suppressing our future, suppressing new discovery, stopping the progress of education for past, present and future students of science and engineering. The rulers' plan is to sell us their inefficient, controlling technology in the name of the climate change agenda, as these people are controlling the funding of universities. It is enslavement by energy control, this is all too evident to even the very asleep person, due to the energy crisis now being inflicted upon us.

THIS MUST STOP!

References

1. (405) Eye witness accounts to Meyer's invention that transforms water into a source of fuel - <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EkjpVcsRQLc>

2. http://www.teslasociety.ch/info/NTV_2011/free.pdf

3. www.endothermic-electricity.com

Foundations of virology fundamentally flawed

by DAWN LESTER &
DAVID PARKER

No virus has ever been isolated or proven to cause disease

AN alleged outbreak of disease in Wuhan, China in late December 2019 was quickly reported as having developed into an ‘epidemic’ and on the 11th March 2020 the WHO declared it to be a ‘pandemic’.

In quick succession, countries around the world were ‘locked down’ in an apparent effort to contain the disease; the phrase ‘flatten the curve’ was used to suggest that the numbers of cases were dramatically increasing to form a steeply inclining curve on graphs produced by various authorities. These ‘lockdowns’ were claimed to be able to stop the spread of the allegedly dangerous SARS-CoV2 coronavirus.

In the months that followed, people were urged to be tested, to wear masks and to ‘socially distance’ by keeping 6 feet apart from each other. Reports stated that efforts were being made to produce a vaccine and that we could not return to ‘normal’ until we had all been vaccinated and therefore ‘protected’ from this allegedly dangerous pathogenic coronavirus. Amazingly, various companies within the pharmaceutical industry were able to produce a number of vaccines in record time and, as soon as they were made available to the public, people began to get their jabs.

This is obviously an extremely brief overview of the events that have occurred in these unprecedented times in which we are currently living. We are certainly all under threat, but the real threat we face is not the result of any ‘infection’ by a new and deadly ‘virus’.

There are clearly many aspects to this whole covid story, but the aim of this article is to focus on the core issue, which is encapsulated by the simple statement:

“No virus has ever been proven to cause any disease; therefore, there is no such disease as covid-19 caused by a virus called SARS-CoV2.”

However, we realise that more clarification is required to help people understand the extent of the lies we are told, the draconian nature of the



Perhaps the most outrageous image from the entire pandemic

measures we are being subjected to and the reasons for their imposition.

Viruses are defined as particles comprised of genetic material in a protein coating. These particles, which are non-living, have never been isolated and their genetic constitution has never been characterised. This in turn means that scientists have no knowledge of the actual identity of any specific so-called ‘viral particle’. Furthermore, and most importantly, no ‘viral particle’ has been proven to be the cause of any disease – ever!

All experiments that are conducted in the belief that they will produce ‘isolation of a virus’ are based on the experiments conducted by John Enders and Thomas Peebles in the 1950s and reported in their seminal paper entitled Propagation in Tissue Cultures of Cytopathogenic Agents from Patients with Measles that was published in 1954. The experiments they performed are claimed to have proved that a particular ‘virus’ was the cause of measles; but a careful reading of the actual paper demonstrates that this is not the case.

In his article in the 4/2020 edition of his Wissenschaftplus magazine, Dr Stefan Lanka PD states that:

“Enders, his colleagues and all virologists overlooked...that the death of the cells in the laboratory is not caused by a virus, but because the cells are unintentionally and unnoticed but systematically killed in

the laboratory.”

This means that all experiments since 1954 that claim to have proved a viral cause of a disease merely demonstrate cytopathic effects that are the result of the experimental procedures that involve the use of toxic substances; they are not the result of any ‘virus’.

In fact, the particles that have been labelled ‘viruses’ have never been proven to be anything other than cell/tissue debris; as Stefan Lanka clearly states in his article,

“Virologists report typical artifacts of dying tissue / cells and typical structures...as viruses or viral components.”

In other words, all particles that have been labelled ‘viruses’ are simply dead or dying cell/tissue debris.

If everyone were to become aware of this fundamental fact, then this false ‘pandemic’ would immediately come to an end.

It may therefore be wondered why has this ‘pandemic’ occurred if viruses do not cause disease? The simple answer to this question is: “to gain control over all aspects of our lives”. Although a full explanation is beyond the scope of this short article, certain key points can be identified.

The first clue can be seen from ‘Event 201’, a conference held in the U.S. in October 2019 to discuss the responses required for a potential scenario in which there was a

pandemic due to a deadly virus. But efforts to gain control over the general population have been in effect and gaining momentum for many decades, if not centuries.

The recent efforts can be seen in documents produced by the UN, especially Agenda 21 and Agenda 2030, both of which claim to be able to implement measures to combat the problems that the world faces.

The global nature of the plans and the idea that they have to be co-ordinated so that everyone is conforming to the same ‘storyline’ are encapsulated in the Agenda 2030 document that states,

“Our journey will involve Governments as well as Parliaments, the UN system and other international institutions, local authorities, indigenous peoples, civil society, business and the private sector, the scientific and academic community – and all people.”

In our book, *What Really Makes You Ill? Why everything You Thought You Knew About Disease Is Wrong*, we demonstrate the failure of the practices of ‘modern medicine’ to improve health and the ability of their practices to cause harm. Agenda 2030 goals that relate to ‘public health’ are claimed to require measures such as better access to ‘medicines and vaccines’; as can be seen most clearly by SDG 3.8, which aims to:

“Achieve universal health

coverage, including financial risk protection, access to quality essential health-care services and access to safe, effective, quality and affordable essential medicines and vaccines for all.”

The problems associated with vaccines will be covered in another article.

All 17 ‘sustainability’ goals of Agenda 2030 are designed to gain control over all aspects of our lives and over all resources of the world. A weak and quiescent populace is one of the ways that these goals can be achieved; if you want to stop it then cease being complicit.

Problems can only be solved by correctly identifying their root causes, so that measures can be taken to mitigate or even eliminate those causal factors. The medical establishment does not function from a correct understanding of the functions of the human body, or of the real nature and causes of disease; it is for this reason that the current medical system can never solve the problem of human disease.

A paradigm shift is clearly needed. We owe it to ourselves and to all future generations to take responsibility for our lives and our health; the alternative – a life of complete servitude – is unthinkable.

■ <https://whatreallymakesyouill.com/>

Take your power back

Rediscover Traditional Remedies

by CHRISTOPHER JAMES

IN last month's issue, the article 'Medicine in the Matrix,' discussed the direction of medical professionals needing a sick population to maintain their business. (Linked here) This article is about the ongoing health crisis and what we can do about it.

More people are enduring greater stress from work, insecurities and the lack of timely 'healthcare'. Many GP Doctors are now only making phone calls to establish what your ailments are and then prescribing a medication for you to take on trust

that they know best.

Readers know the government is pushing a 'protect the NHS' campaign and then causing more illness, what's the point? Why not just get yourself better?

What happened to our Grandmother's knowledge? How did elderly people normally live to a good old age and were fit up to just before they passed away and most of them often worked effectively all their lives because there was no such thing as a retirement plan.

One of the key ingredients was that their nutrition was far greater, from an organic source, locally grown and consumed freshly.

With looming (contrived?) food shortages more and more people will grow their own food; just like in the past. This is a brilliant opportunity to re-connect with our soil and proper nutrition, and naturally there are older remedies that have been forgotten about and have been deliberately challenged and suppressed by Big Pharma and their cronies.

Why would these old remedies be suppressed? Is it because they worked? Was their cost very modest?

For nearly our entire history we used Traditional Remedies to help us maintain our health. This is where the knowledge needs to return to the people, and of the people

And, Oh! they could not be patented, and therefore are unprofitable for big business.

For nearly our entire history we used Traditional Remedies to help us maintain our health. This is where the knowledge needs to return to the

people, and of the people.

Most people do not have this knowledge; so, where does this knowledge come

from? There are some special people who have been studying Traditional Remedies and Treatments for many years. One of them is my wife, Susanne; previously a fully qualified nurse but one who turned away from 'Big Pharma' to study and use Traditional Remedies around 15 years ago.

Why would she do this? The same reason that you are probably reading this and other articles in a TruthPaper. Something needs to be done about Big Pharma's dominance.

So where to start to find things that heal the cause of illness as opposed to treating symptoms? One of the first things to do is for people to detox (remove) the poisons, toxins, viruses and germs from their bodies.

A Natural Solution

When you step into a bath (hot enough to make you sweat) for 30 to 45 minutes, and the bath water has a PARTICULAR BLEND OF NATURAL MINERALS added to it,

then you have a recipe to help your body into recovery. HERITAGE FARM REMEDIES offer practical help with Susanne's special blend of salts and minerals that is loved by many as it helps the pores of your skin open, and sweat out the toxins, viruses and germs your body needs to expel, while replenishing salts and minerals necessary.

This can be transformative in around 30 to 45 minutes, and when done regularly, can help your body regain much of its natural health; getting you up on your feet and back to doing the things you love and living the life you want to live. We call it our DETOX SPECIAL and inexpensive way to come back to balance.

Check us out at: www.heritagfarmremedies.co.uk

Come back to our world, not theirs, by nourishing yourself with a NATURAL RESET and expel their poisons from your body.

DETOX AND REBOOT

If you're struggling with physical or mental stress, the last thing you need is a lengthy detox programme. That's why we're so excited to share our Rapid Detox mixture, using a time honoured traditional formula, that offers fast relief.

Yes, in just 45 minutes soaking in a hot bath, you can dispel toxins and reboot your whole system. Traditional remedies from Heritage Farm use entirely natural, ethically sourced, organic ingredients.

At the first sign of illness, simply add three tablespoons to a nice hot bath and feel fitter and more energetic in just 45 minutes. Repeat as required, safe in the knowledge that this tried and tested formula works on even those with a compromised immune system.

One tub of our Detox Mixture will give you 10 to 12 detox treatments, for just £34.50 incl. p&p for delivery anywhere in the UK mainland.

To order, please call: 01935 814 850
or mail to: info@heritagfarmremedies.co.uk
www.heritagfarmremedies.co.uk





Social Credit system: Nightmare on your street

Social credit means a dystopian, digital world where you can forget about privacy, freedom or rights

Social credit is leading us straight into the sort of world predicted by Orwell and Huxley

YOUR body, your mind, your spirit and everything you once thought you owned will belong to the conspirators and their world state. Social credit, promoted by the WEF, the UN, the WHO and a bunch of independent, unelected billionaires, is the finale.

A few years ago, in a book called 'The Game's Afoot' I wrote that the Chinese Government was giving people marks according to behaviour. It was, I wrote, called 'social credit', and citizens were being ranked and rated.

'The Government,' I warned, 'will measure people's behaviour in order to decide what services they are entitled to access.'

And so it has come to pass.

'I don't know what all the fuss is about,' said a politician. 'If you behave yourself it will be a good thing.'

Social credit is a scheme designed to enable governments to control their citizens. Every new law and rule ties into the social credit system. It's communism.

Look at how social credit has operated in China since 2014. Social credit ratings are measured with a simple points system. Citizens start off with 1,000 points and then lose points if they 'misbehave'.

Information about every individual is collected together from all possible sources – schools, workplaces, banks, doctors' surgeries, hospitals, police, courts, libraries, supermarkets, internet platforms, travel companies and closed circuit television camera.

Supermarket cameras and credit card computers watch to see how much you spend on alcohol, cigarettes, sweets and fatty foods.

The goal is to provide the Government with a general assessment of each individual citizen's trustworthiness.

'Good' citizens are allowed to travel, use a public library, rent bicycles, borrow money, send their

by **Dr VERNON COLEMAN**
MB ChB DSc

children to better schools, obtain better quality of health care and get better jobs.

Buying green vegetables, sensible clothing and nappies will boost a citizen's rating. Buy sensible work shoes with good soles and your rating will rise. Those who praise the Government will see their rating improve.

'Bad' citizens, who are rebellious, deceitful or disobedient are denied access to travel, hotels, restaurants, good schools, good hospitals and good jobs. 'Bad' citizens may be banned from entering shopping malls or food stores and denied access to food.

Buying chocolates, alcohol or frivolous clothing will damage your rating as will playing games on the internet.

Citizens who fail to visit their parents regularly are punished as are jaywalkers, those who smoke in non-smoking zones and those who walk a dog without putting it on a lead. Not sorting your personal waste properly is a sin as is swearing in public. Reporting friends, relatives and neighbours for using bad language will win you points. All internet data (including searches) is used to compile social credit ratings. Players who cheat in online video games are punished. If you miss recommended tests or jabs you'll be punished.

By 2019, 23 million people in China had been blacklisted from travelling by train or aeroplane because they had low social credit ratings. Students are prevented from attending schools or universities if one parent has a poor score.

Employers are encouraged to consult blacklists before hiring new employees or handing out contracts.

Some years ago I wrote a weekly column in a large circulation Chinese newspaper. One week I wrote a column criticising vaccination. I was sacked within hours of the column appearing. And a couple of days later I received an email from my Chinese publisher telling me that my books (several of which were long-term best-sellers) had been banned. No other publisher in China was allowed to publish any of my books.

The Chinese social credit system requires citizens to carry smart phones which are equipped with

Apps connecting them to a central bureaucracy.

Smart TVs, computers, iPads, cell phones and so on also collect data by recording conversations, movements and user activity. Video games use facial recognition software.

Social credit schemes are now being developed worldwide.

New Zealanders who go to Australia are entitled to live and work there for life unless they fail a 'good character' test in which case they will be deported. The good character test is decided entirely at the discretion of officials.

In Bologna, Italy, the authorities have introduced a 'smart citizens' wallet'. Holders receive digital points to obtain discounts for virtuous behaviour.

Ukraine has set up a social credit App combining universal basic income, digital ID and a vaccine passport in a single App.

In France, President Macron introduced a Digital Identity Guarantee.

In Canada, the Government has a new advisory group to enforce censorship and to regulate what they decide is harmful content. The Government has sole authority to decide what can be regarded as a conspiracy theory. Sequestration powers enable the Government to take your money out of your bank account.

In Vienna, Austria, every citizen will be offered an App which will reward good behaviour with 'Vienna Tokens'. The plan is to turn Vienna into a smart city with data replacing money as the city's currency. In future all decisions will be made by artificial intelligence so that there will be no need for elections.

In Germany, citizens have a SCHUFA score which is necessary for buying or renting a house or receiving goods on credit. The system tracks each citizen's entire credit history. Someone who lives in a poor area, or has low scoring neighbours, will find that their score is lowered.

In Russia, by 2025, four out of five Russians will have been given a 'personal development trajectory' – a digital file which will contain every achievement in a person's life – 'the misses, mistakes, big projects'. The aim is to digitalise the Russian economy.

In Zimbabwe, 'people who peddle information deemed false by the

Government face up to 20 years in prison, a hefty fine or both.' In Thailand, the Government warned that 'anybody joking about the virus could face up to five years prison time'.

In Holland, a bank links customer spending habits with their CO2 emissions.

In Ireland, the Government has stated that The State 'shall delimit the right to private property where it is necessary to ensure the common good'.

Iran has introduced digital food rationing based on biometric IDs.

In Wales, selected citizens will be given £20,000 a year. They will be allowed to keep the money on top of anything they choose to earn. This is a generous version of Universal Basic Income.

India has a program known as 'Aadhaar' which means that each resident has a 12 digit number. Each individual has their fingerprints and iris scans stored.

International Monetary Fund researchers want internet search history to be tied to credit scores.

In the UK, the Government has a 'nudge unit' to create fear and shame and promote group think. Councils warn homeowners that 'failing to register (to vote) can have a negative impact on your credit score'. The UK Digital Identity and Attitudes Trust Framework, part of the nationwide digital ID push, enables citizens to prove their ID using digital methods.

The UK Government has a new App to monitor shopping habits and encourage healthy eating. Cinemas are planning digital ID cards for children.

In 2020, when most people were wondering if they dared nip out to the shop to buy a can of beans, and wondering if it would be legal to buy a loaf of bread as well, the UK Government quietly published 'Evidence and Scenarios for Global Data Systems – the Future of Citizen Data Systems'.

Your local council check your recycling. Owners of old cars will be fined or denied access to city centres.

Life for the 'good citizens' will be just like life in the USSR and China. It will be like life in a giant prison camp. 'Good' citizens will be entitled to buy cheap food, rent cheap apartments, take cheap holidays and get jobs with light

work. They will be entitled to free education for their children and free medical care too.

The world of social credit gets absolutely everywhere; it's more intrusive and tougher to remove than hogweed. You probably think I'm making this up. I wish I were but I'm not.

The old and the sick and the overweight will lose points. Eating on public transport, missing a medical appointment and parking in the wrong place will lose you points.

This is the technocratic state in full flow.

There are public loos in China which won't let you in without first checking your face and identifying you. Only then will the machine dispense the small quantity of loo paper you are allowed.

Social credit means a dystopian, digital world where you can forget about privacy, freedom or rights. Good behaviour will be rewarded and bad behaviour punished. But who defines what is good and what is bad?

Adapted from
Vernon Coleman's latest book
**'Social Credit:
Nightmare on Your
Street'.**

Between columns you can follow Vernon on
www.vernoncoleman.org

The website is updated regularly. Vernon Coleman is banned from all social media so please ignore fake profiles in his name on Telegram etc.

**DISTRUST THE GOVERNMENT
AVOID MASS MEDIA
FIGHT THE LIES**

www.vernoncoleman.com

Letters to The Light

DEAR Light paper,

I AM a doctor, scientist, a healthcare professional, an employee of the NHS and a believer in the good medicine does. I find this publication offensive and worrying. I hope the majority of sensible people who picked this up this morning, have looked though the pages, decided it was nonsense.

As somebody who has worked in a hospital throughout covid, I can safely say the majority of those very unwell or dying from covid were those that were unvaccinated. I can personally say that I have been involved in vaccine trials and have had NO SIDE EFFECTS. I have remained well and did not contract covid.

Personally, I believe those that are anti-vaccination should be anti-healthcare and should not use any form of free healthcare this country offers - surely it would be hypocritical if you do not believe in medicine? This would no doubt save the NHS a lot of time and money, particularly when those who do not vaccinate become unnecessarily poorly and end up in emergency situations needing care which is extremely costly. Please stay at home with your beliefs instead.

On another note, I thought it was interesting that no second name details were given for the contributors of the paper... something to be ashamed/afraid of I wonder?

If this 'newspaper' arrives here again, I will report this as malicious communication.

Regards,
Dr A.

Our Reply:

As she describes herself as a doctor and scientist I am sure Dr A will be interested to know the following:

1. In March 2020, the UK Government's specialist advisers told the Government that covid was no worse than the flu.
2. The widely used PCR test has been proven to be useless and dangerous.
3. The death totals in the UK in 2020 and 2021 were no more than average.
4. The number of alleged covid deaths was artificially increased by counting those with positive tests as dying of covid. This meant that anyone who was attacked with an axe, tested positive and died within 60 days was officially a covid death. Even governments admitted this was fraudulent.
5. Lockdowns were never useful or necessary. In May 2020, I predicted the lockdowns would kill more than the rebranded flu. It came to pass.
6. Government advisers admitted mask-wearing was virtue signalling and that masks did more harm than good.
7. Thousands of elderly patients were killed in care homes and hospitals

by egregious medical practices. The closure of hospital departments was never necessary and will result in millions of avoidable deaths.

8. The experimental covid jabs never prevented the disease, nor stopped it spreading. Claiming that one person had a jab without side effects (so far) is anecdotal, not scientific. There was clear evidence of the dangers of the jabs 18 months ago, and the evidence since then has confirmed this.
9. The scientific evidence shows that those who were jabbed are more likely to develop the disease. The evidence also shows that the jabs are causing a wide ranging epidemic of serious disease.
10. I always put my full name on my articles. All the scientific evidence needed is available on www.vernoncoleman.org. There are no fees and no adverts on my website because like others involved in producing *The Light*, I am concerned only in sharing the truth in an attempt to combat the lies which are constantly told by a fraudulent media.

Finally, Dr A should know that those promoting the very profitable treatments being used have consistently refused to debate any of these issues with me, and the BBC refuses to interview anyone who questions the value or safety of any vaccine.

Dr Vernon Coleman

Got something to say? Outraged that this paper has been shoved through your door? Want to praise us for being the only widely-circulated newspaper giving a voice to the alternative, the censored, the cancelled and the forgotten?

Got suggestions or corrections?

Email: letters@thelightpaper.co.uk

Dear The Light paper,

Re: Queen's jubilee.

Being cynical is a sure way of making oneself unpopular.

No matter how reasoned the argument might be. Belief, like religion is beyond argument.

And if Ilkley had some stocks, that's where my comments questioning the motives of the Queens Jubilee celebrations would land me.

Does she deserve such adulation? The conduct of the Royal family has (without naming the obvious members) hardly been exemplary and even the Queen on occasions has shown human weaknesses. Remember Diana?

As was said in the film *Some like it hot*, "no one's perfect". And as much as we would wish to believe she is, neither is the Queen.

But on the whole, as far as Privy Council secrecy will allow us to know, she has not interfered politically too much.

However we all like a good knees up and any excuse will

do. But are such costly Royal celebrations to distract and brainwash the population into believing that the Monarchy makes this country great really justified? Or perhaps we should be more arguably called a moron-archy?

Just think. The value of the crown alone could eliminate all this country's food banks. To which Royalists trot out the argument of income she generates from tourism. It's our version of Disney World complete with Micky and Mini Mouse. Let's go to hell with a smile.

To sustain the Monarchy, Establishment and fraudulent democracy, what better way is there than having a massive public relations exercise? And what better opportunity to raise funds for good deserving causes whilst embedding the credit for this to the Queen and her politicians?

Get the stocks and rotten tomatoes ready. Here is one subversive offender ready to be pelted by the Royalists.

Malcolm Naylor

one question. It is the smoking gun against this vaccine fraud. Not one person injected with this stuff has given informed consent.

Everyone expected the mRNA to be disintegrated. It shows up on scans when people have scans in hospital. Studies are showing it does not break down.

We need a cure, but first we need the medical establishment and regulators to pull this awful product off the shelves. Dr. Urso and Dr. Ryan Cole both speak about the synthetic mRNA that remain in the injected person and keep producing toxic spike.

And they're pushing this on children, God help us.

Camilla E Rickson

When it comes to the truth, it's black and white.



thelightpaperwear.co.uk

SOCIAL REFORMATION

In the face of...

the corporate control of social media,
the insidious growth of artificial intelligence,
ever-widening wealth disparity,
ever-increasing state surveillance,
behavioural manipulation on the part of government,
and the ongoing diminishment of individual liberty
...is now more imperative than ever, to which end,
for thoughts on a fairer, better, more progressive order,
please go to www.theprospectofbabel.com



Note to reader: The Prospect of Babel outlines a concept-polity, the purpose of which is to act as an index for social transition, that is open to revision, modification, moderation and so on (welcoming correction on every level, while inviting others to refine it – which renders the reader, in part, the writer, or leastwise the righter via critical reading).

In respect of the text, there's three errors a reader, however clever, may make, to wit: to mistake the narrator for a dictator, and see what's propositional as prescriptive; to read what's stylised as literal; to perceive as radical what's actually pragmatic (by virtue of timescale, analysis, and open-minded trial) – to iterate, the document is not a blueprint for a utopia (more of an ideal that invites dialogue).

Please note also that it's written in blank verse – so blank in fact that you may not at first discern it (its form not affecting its legibility, though a reader may note an apparent over-use of commas – this seeming error stemming from the investment of metre [viz. if spoken, it has rhythms]). To this end most of the commas are optional (being formal more than grammatical devices).

www.theprospectofbabel.com

Who is Stuart Watson and what is his story?



Stuart comes from a working class family in the Northeast of England; he endured a hard upbringing both physically and mentally, struggled with education due to dyslexia. Stuart's path through life has led him on a journey where he has survived 4 near death situations and provided him with many life skills that could be seen as complex or indifferent, due to his life experiences.

Stuart is a well-known, respected, trustworthy and honest man, who projects a strong moral presence, while prideing himself as a man of his word. Where Stuart has always been a spiritual man, it wasn't until a string of unexplainable events that Stuart began to notice a sudden change in himself and the world around him.

Following a number of these events, Stuart sought guidance and understanding on what was happening from a High Priestess and this lead him to Glastonbury. Through the High Priestess of Glastonbury's guidance Stuart was able to realise the gift he had been given and the strength of the energy he now had access to. Once the level of Stuart's ascension was realised, the High Priestess was able to guide him through the early stages of his transformation.

Since that day Stuart has been on a journey for answers that has lead him to meet many approved and highly acknowledged people in the field of healing energy. One of these people is Helen Geddes, a pioneer of energy healing who is regularly commissioned to work with both animals and people all over the world. Helen not only recognises Stuart's level of healing power, but has gone as far as to refer her own clients to Stuart due to his healing power.

When discussing the subject of Stuarts healing power, David Cunningham, a man who has worked with high profile clients all over the world, recognises the level of Stuarts healing powers and has even went as far as stating Stuart is the most powerful divine energy healer he's has ever seen.

It is due to the help of these people and more that Stuart has been able to realise and embrace his gift and Stuart would like to take the opportunity to thank them all, but most of all a lady called Joan, who helped him through the early stages of his journey and continues to help him even now with his spiritual awakening.

Hi Stewart first a massive thank you don't know if you remember I had dilated cardiomyopathy heart failure with a severe left hand ventricular systolic disfunction chamber and fraction ejection rate you said I would get healed I since had been hospital admitted with massive temp and an infection on my lungs then found it growing ony ICD pacemaker defibbulater device fitted to my heart but they also found I'm one of the first people to ever my heart has returned to normal which is unheard of the cardiology had to do emergency. Surgery and took the device out and now I don't need anymore my fraction ejection rate is back. To normal had been in hospital for 4 weeks till they they eventually found the infection was coming from thank you the doctor about a 8 months ago had even issued a terminal sick note to the universal credit but I still was doing some work but now I can get back on with doing My freddie Mercury act so when I come up if you have ents on I'll do a charity night if you do ents

I had to pop in Stuart to update you following your Healing Session that you kindly gave last night. Immediately following the Session I could feel some relief which I thought for it to be so quick - must have been my imagination though you assured me it was not and to keep on believing. After weeks of relentless pain that the max Pain Killers didn't fully take away - I had the most restful sleep ever last night and awoke this morning without aching for my Pain Killers. (That's a First) Now as I write this 3 hours after waking I can feel a little pain (Tolerable) I have just taken my Pain Killers 'just in case' as I'm afraid it comes back full blown. Considering it was an over the Telephone Healing Session lasting about 15mins - I am estounded and my Spirits are lifted. I do believe that Father Pio (Also a Healer) is working through you whilst Jesus Christ Stands by your side. I attach a Picture of Father Pio next to your Picture for those who can see - like you said "those who believe will see and I say They will also Feel" Trust and have Faith Bless you Stuart for my MOT Direct From God Thank you so much. I will let you know how I go on.



"A few weeks ago a very special friend of mine took a member of family to see my Uncle Stuart. Not really knowing what/how or even if he could help The child who has autism has attachment issues, struggling to eat well/properly, not going to the toilet. Now this person he helped is eating loads & asking to take themselves to the toilet! To say this is fantastic and so pleasing for the family is an UNDERSTATEMENT!!! Thank you Uncle Stuart for helping my friend!!"



CHECK OUT MY MOVIE ON
prime video
Gateshead's Stuart Watson talks honestly and frankly about his past, his infamous fight with the notorious Viv Graham and how he has turned his life around and is now a faith healer. With interviews with some of the people he has helped and extraordinary video footage Stu also demonstrates on the production team what he calls his gift from God.



GOOD DAY TO ALL THAT ARE READING MY WORK HEALING THROUGH DIVINE LIGHT OF JESUS MYSELF AND ANTHONY HAVE A GROUP EVERY THURSDAY EVENING 7 TILL 9 TEAMS CLUB GATESHEAD NE8 2SJ WE ARE HERE TO HELP PPL AND LEARN THEM ABOUT THERE SPIRITUAL JOURNEY AND LEAN THEM HOW TO DEVELOP THERE OWN ENERGY FIELD AND LISTEN TO ANY EXPERIENCE THEY HAVE BEEN HAVING AND AT THE END OF THE NIGHT WE DO A GROUP HEALING SESSION AND BELIEVE ME EVERYONE FEELS THE DIVINE ENERGY SEEING IS BELIEVING

£3 ENTRY FEE | FREE TEAS COFFEE BOTTLED WATER AND BISCUITS | EVERYONE WELCOME | ANYONE WANTING PRIVATE SESSION PLEASE SEND ME A EMAIL | STUART WATSON 12@YAHOO.COM

The Old Cider House

Holiday Cottages to Let

Weddings & Events

Yurts & Teepees on Request

Peaceful, Tucked Away Location

2 Miles from Glastonbury

5 Acres with Tor Views to Roam

07584 093 444

www.oldciderhouse.co.uk

iain@redlineland.com



All Ways & Forever

Non-Traditional Weddings


Religious Ceremony

Jumping the Broom

The Loving Cup

Unity Candle

Readings



Commitment Ceremony

Civil Partnership

Beach Ceremony

Vow Renewal

Handfasting

Prayers

Suzanne Taylor • Independent Celebrant
celebrant@allwaysandforever.org.uk
www.allwaysandforever.org.uk

07584 417800



FEEL LIKE YOU AGAIN

QUNUBU is the very first known word used for what we now know as cannabis. Our logo represents the Tree of Life as the cannabis tree has literally been that for so many, for so long.



Qunubu CBD was born out of a fiery passion to help support the health, comfort and happiness of our customers, and so that you don't have to, we carefully source UK code-compliant premium CBD infused products designed to harness the power of nature to maintain your overall quality of life.

QUNUBU CBD OIL - Organic GMO free 1000mg oil • Broad spectrum • Individually batch tested for purity, flavour and strength.

QUNUBU FRUIT GUMMIES - THC free • 15mg CBD per gummy.

QUNUBU BALM - Organic coconut oil • unfiltered beeswax • 500mg CBD isolate.

CONTACT CRAIG KEDDA FOR MORE INFORMATION: doc@qunubucbd.co.uk • 07395296265 • www.qunubucbd.co.uk

Are you *uncomfortable having money in the bank?*

Silver Britannia Coins are a great hedge in these troubled times and are a great way to protect your wealth for the future.

We are the most competitive market makers in the U.K.

All coins physically in stock and delivered the next day by 'Signed For' post.

All profits from Britanniæ are free of Capital Gains Tax.

Please request a copy of our brand new colour brochure.



Web: philatelicheritage.co.uk Email: philatelicheritage@gmx.com Telephone: 01488 684008

Grander Water Revitalisation

Secure Water for the Whole Family



For over 40 years Grander has provided bespoke whole house water revitalisation systems delivering healthy, refreshing filtered water on tap to homes worldwide, everyday.

Easy installation, low maintenance,
NO chemicals or electricity.

Grander supports your conscious lifestyle choices in harmony with nature and the human body.

Whole house systems from £3,525
Finance available.



granderwater.co.uk 0333 390 9479

waterinfo@granderwater.co.uk

Are You Interested in Learning Acupuncture?

Do you think you could heal people better than doctors?

Then the SSOMA acupuncture course is for you.
A one-year course with dedicated online lectures, freeing up clinical time for small tutorial groups and hands on teaching to make you a successful acupuncturist.

Contact today:

Email: ssoma@drdankeown.com

@drdankeown

Find out more at:

www.theacupuncturehospital.com

www.drdankeown.com/ssoma

S.S.O.M.A.

School of Scientific Oriental Medicine and Acupuncture

Special EMF Service

Electro-magnetic Field and Earth Energy Specialist

- Struggling with sleep ● Hounded by tinnitus
- Experiencing problems with concentration or cognition
- Noticed a deterioration in your reflexes or experiencing episodes of dizziness
- Suffering with frequent headaches or other aches and pains
- Feeling irritable and stressed with low moods or anxiety



The above points are just a few of the many issues experienced by people with sensitivities to electro-magnetic radiation from wireless telecommunications equipment, to the electricity that runs through our homes and places of work.

Geopathic Stress shares many of the same health implications, effecting a large percentage of homes throughout the country.

Surveying your radiation exposure and remediating the impact

Geopathic Stress Investigation and transformation

£295 plus travel

www.special-emf-service.uk

special.EMFservice@protonmail.com

07485 225 223 @EMFserviceUK



The Trust Works

All Rights Reserved in Trust Under God

Settle Your Property into Your Own Private Trust.
That way You will own Nothing, Control Everything
and be Very Happy about it! **What You don't Own,
Can't be taken away from You.**

www.thetrustworks.co.uk

Cosmic Silver Linings

EMF Protection Clothing

lovingly hand made in England



Retail & Wholesale

buy now at:

33a High Street
Glastonbury
01458 831007

www.emfconsultancy.co.uk



Why Buy Gold?

By far the best performing asset of the 21st century: Gold has outperformed every significant asset class and commodity over the past decade. Gold has an intrinsic value and unlike shares and funds, the value of gold can never drop to zero.

If Not Now, When?

Accumulated capital needs to beat the rate of inflation or wealth will be guaranteed to erode in buying power and eventually be worthless. The fact is that all fiat currencies have been eroded in buying power by at least 99% since they were created and now that interest rates are at historic lows, we need to know what can preserve our wealth more now than ever.

T: 0800 368 9145

W: www.buy-bullion.co.uk

3 Simple Steps To Getting Started

1. Consultation

Speak to a dedicated gold specialist to address any questions or queries, indicate the product of your interest, or work to find the product best suited to your needs. Dedicated specialists will work with you to identify which products are available to you and will benefit you most.

2. Purchase & Payment

Once you've selected your investment of choice, you will be requested to confirm your order. There is no minimum or maximum order on Gold. To finalise your order payment is made via card or bank transfer. We will require proof of name and address.

3. Receiving your Gold

We can either deliver your gold directly to you, via our complimentary insured, tracked and signed for delivery service or you can choose to have your gold safely stored in our recommended fully insured vault.

Welcome to the Skincare Revolution

100% NATURAL.
NON-STEROID SKINCARE.
HANDMADE WITH A
POTENT DOSE OF OUR
HOMEGROWN, ORGANIC HERBS
AT OUR FARM IN SOMERSET.
BY WORKERS EARNING ABOVE
THE LIVING WAGE.



NOT JUST FOR THE BOURGEOISIE

WE ARE NOT A
PHARMACEUTICAL COMPANY

AWARD-WINNING,
FIVE STAR RATED SKINCARE
AND BEAUTY FOR ALL
THE FAMILY AND
ALL SKIN TYPES, INCLUDING
SENSITIVE SKIN.
USE DISCOUNT CODE
AWAKE FOR 20% OFF
STOREWIDE.
WWW.LYONSLEAF.CO.UK

STANDING FOR
AWAKE AND
FREEDOM



Covid Crisis
Climate Crisis
USA Crisis
Great Reset
5G etc . . .

Stay 😎
informed
with us!

<https://www.freecitizen.uk/>
Free Citizen UK



Wild Isle
ISLE OF LEWIS

• Beef Bone Broth
Concentrate from the
Outer Hebrides
• Small family
business
• Now trialling "Pay as
you Wish" - check us out!
www.wildisle.co.uk

Naturopath.Clinic **Health and Fitness**

Naturopathic Medicine
Nutritional Therapy, Functional Testing
Nutri-Genomics, Herbs, Nutraceuticals



Hormone and GUT Specialist, Support
for Chronic Conditions, Check Ups

Consultations in Cardiff and On Line

www.Naturopath.Clinic
enquiries@Naturopath.Clinic
01443 440299



THE LIGHT RECRUIT

THE LIGHT PAPER

Various Positions
salary depending on position
and experience

Must have excellent communication
and organisation skills, with an all
round general positive attitude and a
love for **The Light** and what we are
trying to achieve.

Ref: **LIGHTPAPER001**

"Your core values are the
deeply held beliefs that
authentically describe
your soul"

John C Maxwell

EMPOWER THE PEOPLE

Legal Advisors
initially voluntary

Must have technical legal
competencies, experience liaising
with courts and a working
knowledge of the judicial system.

Ref: **EMPOWERTP001**

AUTONOMY HOTLINE

Area Representatives
initially voluntary

Must have good English skills;
written and spoken, a heart for
people who are suffering, excellent
listening and analytical skills and
an 'outside-the-box' attitude to
problem solving.

Ref: **AUTONOMYHL001**

To apply, please forward your CV to info@thelightrecruit.co.uk, quoting
the reference of the job you are applying for.



Bringing
together
recruiters
and candi-
dates whose
values align

01143 603 531

www.thelightrecruit.co.uk
info@thelightrecruit.co.uk
@thelightrecruit

OUR LATEST JOBS


UNLOCK The Potential To Your Body's Health With The Biomedis Trinity Frequency Device

UNLOCK The Potential To Your Body's Health With The Biomedis Trinity Frequency Device

These natural frequencies are packed into
a unique device which is stylish, reliable,
compact and lightweight. Biomedis
Trinity is easy to take with you wherever
you go, enabling you to influence and
support your own health.

Biomedis Trinity helps to remove toxic
substances such as:

Heavy metals • Chemicals • Parasites
Mould • Radiation • and much more



ADVANCED 100% SAFE PATENTED TECHNOLOGY



Detoxification



Calming effect



Cleansing of the body



Strengthen immunity



Pain Relief



Better sleep



Better focus



Can be used by all ages

Please visit our website: www.biomedis.global